DATE: January 1	7, 2013	PLACE:	Lyle Shields Meeting Room 1776 East Washington Street
TIME: 6:30 p.m			Urbana, IL 61802
MEMBERS PRESENT	Catherine Capel, Passalacqua, Rogo		n, Eric Thorsland, Paul Palmgren, Br
MEMBERS ABSENT :	None		
STAFF PRESENT :	Connie Berry, Joh	nn Hall, Andrew	Kass
OTHERS PRESENT :	Jedd Swisher, Da	niel Williams, Ea	arl Williams, Dean Rose, Chris Barshn
1. Call to Order			
	order at 6:33 p.m.		
The meeting was called to	o order at 6:33 p.m.		
The meeting was called to 2. Roll Call and De The roll was called and a	claration of Quorum	ent with one mem	nber absent and one vacant Board
The meeting was called to 2. Roll Call and De The roll was called and a seat. Mr. Thorsland informed to sign the witness register for the called and a seat.	claration of Quorum quorum declared present the audience that anyon or that public hearing.	ne wishing to test	
The meeting was called to 2. Roll Call and De The roll was called and a seat. Mr. Thorsland informed to sign the witness register few witness register they are searched.	claration of Quorum quorum declared present the audience that anyon or that public hearing.	ne wishing to test	ify for any public hearing tonight must
The meeting was called to 2. Roll Call and De The roll was called and a seat. Mr. Thorsland informed to sign the witness register few witness register they are searched.	claration of Quorum quorum declared present the audience that anyon or that public hearing.	ne wishing to test	ify for any public hearing tonight mus

1/13/13

5. Continued Public Hearing

1

- Case 707-S-12 Petitioner: Daniel Williams and landowner Fran Williams Request to authorize the use of an existing Paintball Facility as an "Outdoor Commercial Recreation Enterprise" as a Special Use on 5.2 cares that is part of a 35 care treat in the CR Conservation Recreation Toning District.
- 5 Use on 5.2 acres that is part of a 35 acre tract in the CR Conservation-Recreation Zoning District.
- 6 Location: A 35 acre tract in the Southeast Quarter of the Northeast Quarter of Section 36 of Newcomb Township and commonly known as the home at 2453 CR 600E, Dewey.

8

- 9 Case 725-V-12 Petitioner: Daniel Williams Request to authorize the following in the CR Conservation-
- Recreation Zoning District for a Special Use proposed in Case 707-S-12: Part A. Variance for a rear vard of 0 feet in lieu of the minimum required 25 feet; and Part B. Variance for a side vard of 0 feet in
- lieu of the minimum required 15 feet; and Part C. Variance from a minimum separation from a front
- property line for parking spaces of 0 feet in lieu of the minimum required 10 feet. Location: The same
- 14 5.2 acre tract identified in Case 707-S-12 that is part of a 35 acre tract in the Southeast Quarter of the
- Northeast Quarter of Section 36 of Newcomb Township and commonly known as the home at 2453
- 16 **CR 600E, Dewey.**

17

18 Mr. Thorsland called Cases 707-S-12 and 725-V-12 concurrently.

19

20 Mr. Thorsland informed the audience that Cases 707-S-12 and 725-V-12 are Administrative Cases and as such the County allows anyone the opportunity to cross examine any witness. He said that at the proper time 21 22 he will ask for a show of hands for those who would like to cross examine and each person will be called upon. He requested that anyone called to cross examine go to the cross examination microphone to ask any 23 24 questions. He said that those who desire to cross examine are not required to sign the witness register but 25 are requested to clearly state their name before asking any questions. He noted that no new testimony is to 26 be given during the cross examination. He said that attorneys who have complied with Article 7.6 of the 27 ZBA By-Laws are exempt from cross examination.

28 29

30

Mr. Thorsland informed the audience that anyone wishing to testify for any public hearing tonight must sign the witness register for that public hearing. He reminded the audience that when they sign the witness register they are signing an oath.

31 32

33 Mr. Thorsland asked the petitioners if they desired to make a statement outlining the nature of their request.

34

Mr. Dan Williams stated that he is seeking a permit to allow the continued use of his existing paintball facility.

37

38 Mr. John Hall, Zoning Administrator, distributed a new Supplemental Memorandum dated January 17, 2013,

1/13/13

for Cases 707-S-12 and 725-V-12. He said that the new memorandum includes new information submitted by the petitioner on January 15, 2013, regarding the following: 1. Purple paint has been put on trees to indicate no hunting or trespassing; and 2. Referees are wearing orange during state regulated hunting seasons; and 3. One-third of the woven wire fence has been installed; and 4. One-fifth of the bunkers have been rebuilt for the floodplain area. Mr. Hall stated that the petitioner also provided a revised Firemark Paintball Orientation, which is a handout that is provided to each player. Mr. Hall said that the first paragraph of the Orientation includes the following notes: Note 1: Trespassing onto neighboring properties is strictly prohibited! Make point about woven fence; and Note 2: There is target shooting on adjacent properties. Furthermore, hunting occurs on adjacent properties during state regulated hunting seasons.

Mr. Hall stated that staff has proposed to update the description of the site plan and the operations and revise Item 5 of the Summary of Evidence. He said that in previous versions of the Summary of Evidence for Cases 707-S-12 and 725-V-12 they were slightly different but obviously this is the same site plan and same operations therefore the item 5.C. should be the same for both cases. He said that Item 5.B. should indicate the revised site plan submitted on January 15, 2012 and new Item 5.B(15) should read as follows: The proposed location of the existing 14' x 60' mobile home in playing Field #2 is proposed to be 142 feet from the north property line; and new Item 5.B(16) should read as follows: An indication that a fence 4 feet in height will run along the side and rear property lines for the length of the playing fields.

Mr. Hall noted that the Board should remember to obtain conclusive evidence regarding whether or not there is in fact a 15 foot side yard proposed along the north lot line. He said that staff believes that there is a 15 foot side yard proposed along the north lot line but no documentation has been received from the petitioner to indicate such.

Mr. Hall asked Mr. Andrew Kass, Associate Planner, if there was any additional information regarding the site plan.

Mr. Kass stated that to assure compliance with the side yard requirement the petitioner needed to indicate the distance of the mobile home, which is proposed to be placed in the playing field, to the north property line.

Mr. Hall stated that the Summary of Evidence for both cases includes new Items 5.D(10) and 5.D(11) as follows: Item 5.D(10): Trespass onto neighboring properties is strictly prohibited; and Item 5.D(11): Target shooting and hunting during state regulated hunting seasons occurs on adjacent properties. He said that Item 5.E.2 of the Summary of Evidence for both cases has been revised as follows: A rope will be used to delineate the property boundaries. On January 15, 2013, the petitioner indicated in an update to staff that a woven wire fence is being installed to delineate the property boundaries.

Mr. Hall stated that the last attachment to the January 17th memorandum is an e-mail dated January 12, 2013,

1/13/13

from Timothy Woodard, former neighbor of the subject property. Mr. Hall said that Mr. Woodard's e-mail is in response to the January 11, 2013, Supplemental Memorandum, which indicated that no new information had been submitted by the petitioner. Mr. Hall stated that Mr. Woodard's e-mail is no longer relevant since the petitioner did submit an update on January 15th and it was distributed to the Board.

Mr. Hall stated that the Board will be using the Draft Summary of Evidence dated December 13, 2012, for each case.

Mr. Thorsland asked the Board if there were any questions for Mr. Hall and there were none.

Mr. Thorsland asked the Board if there were any questions for Mr. Williams.

Mr. Thorsland asked Mr. Williams if a fence will be installed 15 feet from the north property line or is a rope
 going to be installed 15 feet from the north property line.

Mr. Williams stated that it is his understanding that the 15 feet was supposed to be for the border and a rope from the inside of that. He said that he has not completed the measurement yet because he is only $2/3^{\text{rd}}$'s done with the installation but he would guess that it is 15 feet. He said that he would hope to have the final $1/3^{\text{rd}}$ of the installation completed tomorrow. He said that once entire fence along the back half and the side is completed he will measure off 15 feet and install the rope border. He said that the original rope that already exists is almost 15 feet from the property line already. He said that he does not have a rope in Field #1 therefore he must continue the existing rope into that playing field.

Mr. Thorsland asked the Board if there were any further questions for Mr. Williams and there were none.

Mr. Thorsland asked if staff had any questions for Mr. Williams.

 Mr. Hall stated that the description of Case 725-V-12 included a Part B, indicates a variance for a side yard of zero feet in lieu of the minimum required 15 feet. He said that it is up to the Board whether or not Part B. is still required but he does not know that installation of the rope and allowing players to cross the rope once they are out of the game is a valid reason to not require it. He said that Part B could remain with the understanding that there is a rope and playing activities are not supposed to be closer than 15 feet from the property line but once a player is tagged out the area beyond the rope can be used. He said that he does not believe that a full variance is needed but maybe a partial variance.

36 Mr. Thorsland asked the Board if they would be interested in explaining such in Orientation at a Note 3.

38 Mr. Williams stated that technically this information is already in there and if it is not written it is discussed.

ZBA

1/13/13

1 He said that if a player steps outside of the rope boundary line they are automatically out of the game.

2

Mr. Thorsland asked Mr. Williams if the referees understood the rule as well.

4

Mr. Williams stated that the referees absolutely understand the rules and they do enforce them. He said that once a player steps outside of the rope they are not allowed to shoot because they are eliminated. He said that during the discussions the players are told that even though they are eliminated their barrel covers and safety should be on and if they are close to a referee they are reminded to keep their masks on.

9

10 Mr. Thorsland called Earl Williams to testify.

11

Mr. Earl Williams, who resides at 2453 CR 600E, Dewey, stated that he has no new information to add at this time.

14

15 Mr. Thorsland asked the Board if there were any questions for Mr. Williams and there were none.

16

17 Mr. Thorsland asked if staff had any questions for Mr. Williams and there were none.

18

19 Mr. Thorsland asked the audience if anyone desired to cross examine Mr. Williams and there was no one.

20

21 Mr. Thorsland called Chris Barshney to testify.

22

Mr. Chris Barshney, who resides at 606 W. Louisiana, Champaign, stated that he is a volunteer referee for the paintball facility and is available to answer any questions that the Board may have regarding these cases.

25

26 Mr. Thorsland asked Mr. Barshney if he wore an orange vest during the state hunting season.

27

28 Mr. Barshney stated yes. He said that he wore a blaze orange vest and mask.

29

Mr. Thorsland asked Mr. Barshney if he understood the Board's previous conversation regarding the variance requested in Part B. and that if a player steps outside of the rope they are eliminated.

32

Mr. Barshney stated that paintball is much like any other sport in that if a player steps outside of the boundary they are eliminated.

- 36 Mr. Thorsland stated that the purple paint that has been discussed and placed on the property assists people
- 37 that may come onto the property that they may not trespass or hunt. He asked Mr. Barshney if the fence on
- the property line is the part of the discussion with the players.

ZBA

1/13/13

12 Mr.

Mr. Barshney stated yes. He said that he has been assisting Mr. Williams with the installation of the woven wire fence and Mr. Williams has painted all of the trees along the property line with purple paint.

3 4 5

Mr. Thorsland asked the Board if there were any questions for Mr. Barshney and there were none.

6 7

Mr. Thorsland asked if staff had any questions for Mr. Barshney and there were none.

8 9

Mr. Thorsland asked the audience if anyone desired to cross examine Mr. Barshney and there was no one.

10

Mr. Thorsland asked the audience if anyone desired to sign the witness register to present testimony regarding these cases and there was no one.

13

Mr. Thorsland closed the witness register.

14 15

16 Ms. Capel arrived at 6:48 p.m.

17

Mr. Thorsland stated that the Board will now review the Finding for Case 707-S-12. He said that the Supplemental Memorandum dated January 17, 2013, includes proposed and revised evidence.

20

Mr. Williams read a letter dated August 11, 2012, from Carl and James Breedlove, adjacent land owners to the subject property, as follows: "Let it be known by all interested parties that Carl and Jim Breedlove condone and approve the use of Earl and Fran Williams farmland for use up to our property line including the identified County 25 foot setback area by Firemark Paintball, owned and operated by Dr. Daniel Williams. This approval is given freely without compensation, coercion, or any restriction. It is understood that there will be players shooting paintballs, lasers, etc. also that there may be obstacles (bunkers) and other apparatus in the area." Mr. Williams submitted the letter as a Document of Record. He said that he has

27 apparatus28 known M

- known Mr. Breedlove for many years and they have come to an agreement that during hunting season Mr. Breedlove is out of his wooded area by 10:00 a.m. and Mr. Williams' players can begin after 10:00 a.m. He
- noted that the letter is mainly in regards to Part A.

31

29

Mr. Hall asked Mr. Williams if he had received this letter in August, 2012, but only submitted it to the Board tonight.

34

Mr. Williams stated that the date of the letter is August, 2012 but James Breedlove is on the road a lot and it has been difficult to catch him on a weekend when he could sign the letter.

37

38 Mr. Thorsland clarified that the letter was written in August, 2012 but James and Carl Breedlove were just

1 2	now able to si	gn it.
3 4	Mr. Williams	stated yes.
5 6 7 8		d that revised Item 5, as indicated in the January 17, 2013, Supplemental Memorandum should ag Item 5 included in the Summary of Evidence dated December 13, 2012, and renumbered as
9 10 11		I stated that the Board will now review the proposed special conditions. He said that no new been proposed to the special conditions.
12 13 14	Mr. Kass agree October 31, 20	ed, although special condition #13 should be revised to indicate October 31, 2013, and not 012.
15 16 17		informed Mr. Williams that he will read the special conditions and Mr. Williams can simply greement or disagreement.
18 19 20		stated that it has been a while since he has reviewed the special conditions and would iew of those conditions with the Board.
21	Mr. Thorsland	I road Special Condition A.
		l read Special Condition A:
22	A.	Regarding State of Illinois accessibility requirements:
232425262738		 An accessible portable toilet shall be provided; The petitioner shall provide a paved accessible parking space with appropriate markings and a paved accessible route to the paintball area when the existing parking area is expanded or resurfaced as indicated by Doug Gamble, Accessibility Specialist, Illinois Capital Development Board.
28 29 30		The special conditions stated above are required to ensure the following:
31 32		That the proposed Special Use meets applicable state requirements for accessibility.
33 34 35	Mr. Williams	indicated that he agreed to Special Condition A.
36	Mr Thorsland	I read Special Condition B:
37	B.	All obstacles used in the play of paintball shall be removed from the property if the
38	ъ.	business ceases operations for longer than 180 consecutive days unless the business is

1 2		being actively marketed for sale.
3		The special condition stated above is required to ensure the following:
5 6		That the subject property is properly maintained and does not become a nuisance.
7 8	Mr. Williams	s indicated that he agreed to Special Condition B.
9 10	Mr. Thorslan	nd read Special Condition C.
11 12 13 14	С.	Enclosed gaming structures intended to be temporarily occupied by players shall not be larger than 150 square feet in area except that the mobile home may be modified for use as a gaming structure in playing field #2, as depicted on the approved site plan.
15 16		The special condition stated above is required to ensure the following:
17 18		That existing and future structures are small enough in size where life safety considerations are not a concern or necessary.
19 20 21	Mr. William	s indicated that he agreed to Special Condition C.
22	Mr. Thorslar	nd read Special Condition D.
24 25 26 27 28 29	D.	 Regarding structures and obstacles in the floodplain: Structures and obstacles used in the play of paintball shall be secured to the ground with a T-post. Storage of obstacles and structures not being used in the play of paintball shall not occur within the floodplain.
30 31		The special conditions stated above are required to ensure the following:
32 33		That effects on neighboring properties are mitigated and that storage does not occur within the mapped floodplain.
34 35 36	Mr. Williams	s indicated that he agreed to Special Condition D.
37 38	Mr. Thorslan	nd read Special Condition E.

1 2 3	Е.	This Special Use Permit shall be void if the business has ceased operations for 12 consecutive months without the business being actively marketed for sale.
4		The special condition stated above is required to ensure the following:
5 6 7		That the subject property is properly maintained and does not become a nuisance.
8 9	Mr. William	s indicated that he agreed to Special Condition E.
10 11	Mr. Thorslar	nd read Special Condition F.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	F.	 Regarding operations of the proposed Special Use during hunting seasons the following conditions are to be implemented by the petitioner immediately: Paintball referees shall wear blaze orange clothing at all times while refereeing paintball games. The petitioner shall coordinate with neighboring property owners during hunting seasons regarding when and where hunters will be on neighboring property so that the petitioner can alter the operational hours of the paintball park if hunters will be in the immediate vicinity during business hours. The proposed Special Use shall be void if any injuries to patrons, employees, or volunteers of the paintball park are the result of gunfire (rifles, handguns, shotguns). The special condition stated above is required to ensure the following:
26 27 28		employees, and volunteers during hunting seasons and to ensure hunters on surrounding properties are aware that there are other people in the area.
29 30	Mr. William	s indicated that he agreed to Special Condition F.
31 32	Mr. Thorslar	nd read Special Condition G.
33 34 35 36	G.	As soon as possible the petitioner shall revise the Firemark Paintball Orientation overview/ handout to include the following information and send a copy of the revised handout to the Zoning Administrator: (1) Trespassing onto neighboring property is strictly prohibited.
3 <i>7</i> 38		 (2) Hunting occurs on adjacent properties during State regulated hunting seasons. (3) The petitioner shall provide a copy of the revised Orientation handout/overview

ZBA

1 2		to the Zoning Administrator after the revisions have been made.
3		The special condition stated above is required to ensure the following:
4 5		To prevent patrons from trespassing onto neighboring properties and to ensure that
6 7		patrons are aware that hunting occurs on adjacent properties.
8 9	Mr. William	is indicated that he agreed to Special Condition G.
10	Mr. Thorsla	nd read Special Condition H.
11 12 13 14 15	Н.	No later than October 31, 2013, the petitioner shall mark trees or install marked post along the side and rear property lines with purple paint in accordance with Illinois Public Act 97-0477 and allow inspection by the Zoning Administrator.
16 17		The special condition stated above is required to ensure the following:
18		To prevent trespass from neighboring properties.
19 20	Mr. William	s indicated that he agreed to Special Condition H.
21 22 23	Mr. Thorslan	nd read Special Condition I.
24 25	I.	A Change of Use Permit shall be applied for within 30 days of the approval of Cases 707-S-12 and 725-V-12 by the Zoning Board of Appeals.
26 27 28		The above special condition is required to ensure the following:
29 80		The establishment of the proposed use shall be properly documented as required by the Zoning Ordinance.
31 32 33	Mr. William	s requested clarification of Special Condition I.
34 35 36	use in a perm	ted that when a new special use is authorized a Change of Use Permit is required to record the nit. He said that the Change of Use Permit can be combined with the Zoning Use Permit that i Special Condition J.
37 38	Mr Kass no	ted that the Change of Use and Zoning Use Permit are processed through the Planning and

ZBA

1/13/13

1 2	Zoning Department and not the ZBA.
3	Mr. Williams indicated that he agreed to Special Condition I.
5	Mr. Thorsland read Special Condition J.
6 7 8 9	J. A Zoning Use Permit Application for the semi-trailer utilized for storage shall be applied for within 30 days of the approval of Cases 707-S-12 and 725-V-12 by the Zoning Board of Appeals.
10 11 12	The above special condition is required to ensure the following:
13 14	That the storage structure is in compliance with the Zoning Ordinance.
15 16	Mr. Williams indicated that he agreed to Special Condition J.
17 18	Mr. Thorsland entertained a motion to approve the special conditions as read.
19 20 21	Mr. Miller moved, seconded by Mr. Palmgren to approve the special conditions as read. The motion carried by voice vote.
22 23 24	Mr. Hall stated that the following items should be added to the Documents of Record: 17. Supplemental Memorandum for Cases 707-S-12 and 725-V-12 dated January 11, 2013; and 18. Supplemental Memorandum for Cases 707-S-12 and 725-V-12 dated January 17, 2013, with attachements: A. Progress
25 26	Update received January 15, 2013; and B. Firemark Paintball Orientation received January 15, 2013; and C. Revised site plan received January 15, 2013; and D. E-mail from Timothy Woodard dated January 12, 2013.
27 28 29	He said that new item 20 should be added as follows: Statement from Carl Breedlove and James Breedlove received January 17, 2013.
30 31	Finding of Fact for Case 707-S-12:
32 33	From the documents of record and the testimony and exhibits received at the public hearing for zoning case 707-S-12 held on May 17, 2012, September 13, 2012, December 13, 2012, and January 17, 2013, the Zoning

343536

1. The requested Special Use Permit, subject to the special conditions imposed herein, IS necessary for the public convenience at this location.

37 38 Board of Appeals of Champaign County finds that:

ZBA

36 37

38

c.

1/13/13

1 Mr. Palmgren stated that the requested Special Use Permit, subject to the special conditions imposed herein, 2 IS necessary for the public convenience at this location because it is the only facility of its kind in 3 Champaign County and the facility will bring business to the County. 4 5 Mr. Courson stated that the facility is located in the CR District which offers a topographical layout with its 6 trees as covers and open fields which is conducive to a paintball business. 7 8 Mr. Thorsland stated that the facility offers programs for local youth organizations. 9 10 Mr. Kass read the Board's findings as follows: 1. It is the only facility of its kind located in Champaign County and will provide business to the County; and 2. It is located in a CR District and it offers conditions 11 12 that are conducive to the paintball business by providing open fields and tree cover; and 3. It offers programs 13 for local youth organizations. 14 15 Mr. Hall noted that there is one other paintball facility in Champaign County but it is located within the 16 jurisdiction of St. Joseph. He said that the Board can leave the finding as indicated or insert 17 "unincorporated" into finding. 18 19 The Board agreed to revise the finding as follows: 1. It is the only facility of its kind located in 20 unincorporated Champaign County and will provide business to the County. 21 22 2. The requested Special Use Permit, subject to the special conditions imposed herein, is so designed, located, and proposed to be operated so that it WILL NOT be injurious to 23 24 the district in which it shall be located or otherwise detrimental to the public health, 25 safety and welfare because: 26 27 The street has ADEQUATE traffic capacity and the entrance location has a. 28 **ADEQUATE** visibility. 29 30 Mr. Courson stated that the street has ADEQUATE traffic capacity and the entrance location has 31 ADEQUATE visibility. 32 33 b. **Emergency services availability is ADEQUATE.** 34 35 Mr. Palmgren stated that emergency services availability is ADEQUATE.

The Special Use WILL be compatible with adjacent uses.

Surface and subsurface drainage will be ADEQUATE.

Mr. Courson stated that the Special Use WILL be compatible with adjacent uses.

1 2 3 1/13/13

d.

-		
5	•	ed that surface and subsurface drainage will be ADEQUATE because drainage patterns
6 7	will be relatively u	ncnanged.
8 9	e.	Public safety will be ADEQUATE.
10	Ms. Capel stated th	nat public safety will be ADEQUATE.
11 12 13	f.	The provisions for parking will be ADEQUATE.
14 15	Mr. Palmgren state	ed that the provisions for parking will be ADEQUATE.
16 17	g.	The property IS SUITED OVERALL for the proposed improvements.
18 19	Mr. Courson stated	d that the property IS SUITED OVERALL for the proposed improvements.
20 21 22	h.	Existing public services ARE available to support the proposed Special Use without undue public expense.
23 24 25	Ms. Capel stated the undue public experience.	nat existing public services ARE available to support the proposed Special Use without nse.
26 27 28 29	i.	Existing public infrastructure together with the proposed development IS adequate to support the proposed development effectively and safely without undue public expense.
30 31 32		I that existing public infrastructure together with the proposed development IS adequate to ed development effectively and safely without undue public expense.
33 34 35 36	is so designed, loc	ed that the requested Special Use Permit, subject to the special conditions imposed herein, rated, and proposed to be operated so that it WILL NOT be injurious to the district in ocated or otherwise detrimental to the public health, safety and welfare.
37 38		e requested Special Use Permit, subject to the special conditions imposed herein, ES conform to the applicable regulations and standards of the DISTRICT in which
		13

1 2		it is lo	cated, subject to Case 725-V-12.
3 4 5		n to the	at the requested Special Use Permit, subject to the special conditions imposed herein, applicable regulations and standards of the DISTRICT in which it is located, subject
6 7 8 9 10 11	3b.		equested Special Use Permit, subject to the special conditions imposed herein, preserve the essential character of the DISTRICT in which it is located because: The Special Use will be designed to CONFORM to all relevant County Ordinances and codes, subject to Case 725-V-12.
12	-	•	ed that the Special Use will be designed to CONFORM to all relevant County
13 14	Ordinances an	d codes	, subject to Case 725-V-12.
15 16		b.	The Special Use WILL be compatible with adjacent uses.
17 18	Mr. Passalacq	ua state	d that the Special Use WILL be compatible with adjacent uses.
19		c.	Public safety will be ADEQUATE.
20 21 22	Mr. Courson s	tated th	at public safety will be ADEQUATE.
23 24 25			hat the requested Special Use Permit, subject to the special conditions imposed herein, sential character of the DISTRICT in which it is located.
26 27	4.		equested Special Use Permit, subject to the special conditions imposed herein, IS mony with the general purpose and intent of the Ordinance because:
28 29 30		a.	The Special Use is authorized in the District
31 32 33		b.	The requested Special Use Permit IS necessary for the public convenience at this location.
34 35 36	Ms. Capel sta location.	ted that	the requested Special Use Permit IS necessary for the public convenience at this
37 38		c.	The requested Special Use Permit, subject to the special conditions imposed herein, is so designed, located, and proposed to be operated so that it WILL

detrimental to the public health, safety and welfare.

Mr. Courson stated that the requested Special Use Permit, subject to the special conditions imposed herein,

NOT be injurious to the district in which it shall be located or otherwise

1 2

3 4

5	is so design	ed, located, and proposed to be operated so that it WILL NOT be injurious to the district	in
6	which it sha	ll be located or otherwise detrimental to the public health, safety and welfare.	
7			
8		d. The requested Special Use Permit, subject to the special conditions impos	sed
9		herein, DOES preserve the essential character of the DISTRICT in which i	t is
10		located.	
11			
12	Mr. Courson	n stated that the requested Special Use Permit, subject to the special conditions imposed here	in,
13	DOES prese	erve the essential character of the DISTRICT in which it is located.	
14			
15	Mr. Passalad	equa stated that the requested Special Use Permit, subject to the special conditions impos	sed
16	herein, IS in	harmony with the general purpose and intent of the Ordinance.	
17			
18	5.	The requested Special Use IS NOT an existing nonconforming use.	
19			
20		ted that "subject to Case 725-V-12" should be added to findings 3a. and 3.b(a) and the Bo	ard
21	agreed.		
22			
23	6.	The special conditions imposed herein are required to ensure compliance with	the
24		criteria for Special Use Permits and for the particular purposes described below:	
25			
26	A.	Regarding State of Illinois accessibility requirements:	
27		(1) An accessible portable toilet shall be provided;	
28		(2) The petitioner shall provide a paved accessible parking space with appropri	
29		markings and a paved accessible route to the paintball area when the existing	
30		parking area is expanded or resurfaced as indicated by Doug Gamb	ne,
31		Accessibility Specialist, Illinois Capital Development Board.	
32		The special conditions stated above are required to ensure the following:	c
33		That the proposed Special Use meets applicable state requirements	lor
34		accessibility.	
35 36	В.	All obstacles used in the play of paintball shall be removed from the property if	tha
36 37	ъ.	business ceases operations for longer than 180 consecutive days unless the business	
38		being actively marketed for sale.	5 13
50		being actively marketed for said.	
		15	

1 2		The special condition stated above is required to ensure the following: That the subject property is properly maintained and does not become a nuisance.
3		That the subject property is property maintained and does not become a nuisance.
4 5 6 7 8 9	C.	Enclosed gaming structures intended to be temporarily occupied by players shall not be larger than 150 square feet in area except that the mobile home may be modified for use as a gaming structure in playing field #2, as depicted on the approved site plan. The special condition stated above is required to ensure the following: That existing and future structures are small enough in size where life safety considerations are not a concern or necessary.
10	_	
11 12 13	D.	Regarding structures and obstacles in the floodplain: (1) Structures and obstacles used in the play of paintball shall be secured to the ground with a T-post.
14 15		(2) Storage of obstacles and structures not being used in the play of paintball shall not occur within the floodplain.
16		The special conditions stated above are required to ensure the following:
17		That effects on neighboring properties are mitigated and that storage does not occur
18		within the mapped floodplain.
19		
20 21	Е.	This Special Use Permit shall be void if the business has ceased operations for 12 consecutive months without the business being actively marketed for sale.
22		The special condition stated above is required to ensure the following:
23		That the subject property is properly maintained and does not become a nuisance.
24	_	
25	F.	Regarding operations of the proposed Special Use during hunting seasons the following
26		conditions are to be implemented by the petitioner immediately:
27		(1) Paintball referees shall wear blaze orange clothing at all times while refereeing
28		paintball games.
29		(2) The petitioner shall coordinate with neighboring property owners during
30		hunting seasons regarding when and where hunters will be on neighboring
31		property so that the petitioner can alter the operational hours of the paintball
32		park if hunters will be in the immediate vicinity during business hours.
33		(3) The proposed Special Use shall be void if any injuries to patrons, employees, or volunteers of the paintball park are the result of gunfire (rifles, handguns,
34 35		
36		shotguns). The special condition stated above is required to ansura the following:
37		The special condition stated above is required to ensure the following: That the petitioner implements proper measures to ensure the safety of patrons,
38		employees, and volunteers during hunting seasons and to ensure hunters on
20		employees, and volunteers during numbing seasons and to ensure numbers on

35

36

37

38

1/13/13

1		surrounding properties are aware that there are other people in the area.
2	G.	As soon as possible the notitioner shall revise the Firemork Deinthall Orientation
3 4	G.	As soon as possible the petitioner shall revise the Firemark Paintball Orientation overview/ handout to include the following information and send a copy of the revised
5		handout to the Zoning Administrator:
6		(1) Trespassing onto neighboring property is strictly prohibited.
7		(1) Trespassing onto neighboring property is strictly promotived. (2) Hunting occurs on adjacent properties during State regulated hunting seasons.
8		(3) The petitioner shall provide a copy of the revised Orientation handout/overview
9		to the Zoning Administrator after the revisions have been made.
10		The special condition stated above is required to ensure the following:
11		To prevent patrons from trespassing onto neighboring properties and to ensure that
12		patrons are aware that hunting occurs on adjacent properties.
13		pations are aware that numbing occurs on adjacent properties.
14	Н.	No later than October 31, 2013, the petitioner shall mark trees or install marked posts
15	11.	along the side and rear property lines with purple paint in accordance with Illinois
16		Public Act 97-0477 and allow inspection by the Zoning Administrator.
17		The special condition stated above is required to ensure the following:
18		To prevent trespass from neighboring properties.
19		To prevent trespuss from neighboring properties.
20	I.	A Change of Use Permit shall be applied for within 30 days of the approval of Cases
21		707-S-12 and 725-V-12 by the Zoning Board of Appeals.
22		The above special condition is required to ensure the following:
23		The establishment of the proposed use shall be properly documented as required by the
24		Zoning Ordinance.
25		
26	J.	A Zoning Use Permit Application for the semi-trailer utilized for storage shall be
27		applied for within 30 days of the approval of Cases 707-S-12 and 725-V-12 by the
28		Zoning Board of Appeals.
29		The above special condition is required to ensure the following:
30		That the storage structure is in compliance with the Zoning Ordinance.
31		
32		d entertained a motion to adopt the Summary of Evidence, Documents of Record and Finding
33	of Facts as an	mended.
34		

17

Mr. Palmgren moved, seconded by Mr. Courson to adopt the Summary of Evidence, Documents of

Record and Findings of Fact as amended. The motion carried by voice vote.

Mr. Thorsland entertained a motion to move to the Final Determination for Case 707-S-12.

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
10			
20			
21			
22			
23			
21			
2 T			
25			
20			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29			
20 20			
29			
30			

Mr. Courson moved, seconded by Ms. Capel to move to the Final Determination for Case 707-S-12. The motion carried by voice vote.

Final Determination for Case 707-S-12:

Mr. Courson moved, seconded by Mr. Miller that the Champaign County Zoning Board of Appeals finds that, based upon the application, testimony, and other evidence received in this case, the requirements of Section 9.1.11B. for approval HAVE been met, and pursuant to the authority granted by Section 9.1.6B of the Champaign County Zoning Ordinance determines that the Special Use requested in Case 707-S-12 is hereby GRANTED WITH SPECIAL CONDITIONS to the applicants to Daniel Williams and landowner Fran Williams to authorize the use of an existing Paintball Facility as an "Outdoor Commercial Recreational Enterprise" as a Special Use, subject to the following special conditions:

Α. **Regarding State of Illinois accessibility requirements:**

An accessible portable toilet shall be provided;

The petitioner shall provide a paved accessible parking space with appropriate **(2)** markings and a paved accessible route to the paintball area when the existing parking area is expanded or resurfaced as indicated by Doug Gamble,

Accessibility Specialist, Illinois Capital Development Board.

The special conditions stated above are required to ensure the following:

That the proposed Special Use meets applicable state requirements for accessibility.

В. All obstacles used in the play of paintball shall be removed from the property if the business ceases operations for longer than 180 consecutive days unless the business is being actively marketed for sale.

The special condition stated above is required to ensure the following:

That the subject property is properly maintained and does not become a nuisance.

31 32

33

34 35

C. Enclosed gaming structures intended to be temporarily occupied by players shall not be larger than 150 square feet in area except that the mobile home may be modified for use as a gaming structure in playing field #2, as depicted on the approved site plan.

The special condition stated above is required to ensure the following:

That existing and future structures are small enough in size where life safety considerations are not a concern or necessary.

37 38

1	D.	Regarding structures and obstacles in the floodplain:
2		(1) Structures and obstacles used in the play of paintball shall be secured to the
3		ground with a T-post.
4		(2) Storage of obstacles and structures not being used in the play of paintball shall
5		not occur within the floodplain.
6		The special conditions stated above are required to ensure the following:
7		That effects on neighboring properties are mitigated and that storage does not occur
8		within the mapped floodplain.
9		
10	E.	This Special Use Permit shall be void if the business has ceased operations for 12
11		consecutive months without the business being actively marketed for sale.
12		The special condition stated above is required to ensure the following:
13		That the subject property is properly maintained and does not become a nuisance.
14		
15	F.	Regarding operations of the proposed Special Use during hunting seasons the following
16		conditions are to be implemented by the petitioner immediately:
17		(1) Paintball referees shall wear blaze orange clothing at all times while refereeing
18		paintball games.
19		(2) The petitioner shall coordinate with neighboring property owners during
20		hunting seasons regarding when and where hunters will be on neighboring
21		property so that the petitioner can alter the operational hours of the paintbal
21 22 23 24 25 26		park if hunters will be in the immediate vicinity during business hours.
23		(3) The proposed Special Use shall be void if any injuries to patrons, employees, or
24		volunteers of the paintball park are the result of gunfire (rifles, handguns
25		shotguns).
		The special condition stated above is required to ensure the following:
27		That the petitioner implements proper measures to ensure the safety of patrons,
28		employees, and volunteers during hunting seasons and to ensure hunters on
29		surrounding properties are aware that there are other people in the area.
30		
31	G.	As soon as possible the petitioner shall revise the Firemark Paintball Orientation
32		overview/ handout to include the following information and send a copy of the revised
33		handout to the Zoning Administrator:
34		(1) Trespassing onto neighboring property is strictly prohibited.
35		(2) Hunting occurs on adjacent properties during State regulated hunting seasons
36		(3) The petitioner shall provide a copy of the revised Orientation handout/overview
37		to the Zoning Administrator after the revisions have been made.
2 2		The special condition stated above is required to ensure the following:

1		To prevent patrons from	n trespassing onto neigl	hboring properties and to ensure that
2		patrons are aware that h	nunting occurs on adjac	cent properties.
3				
4	Н.		·	nall mark trees or install marked posts
5				ple paint in accordance with Illinois
6		Public Act 97-0477 and		
7		The special condition state	<u> </u>	•
8		To prevent trespass from	n neighboring properti	es.
9				
10	I.	I. A Change of Use Permit shall be applied for within 30 days of the approval of Ca		
11	707-S-12 and 725-V-12 by the Zoning Board of Appeals.			
12	The above special condition is required to ensure the following:			<u>e</u>
13		The establishment of the proposed use shall be properly documented as required by the		
14		Zoning Ordinance.		
15				
16	J.	_	_	trailer utilized for storage shall be
17		applied for within 30 days of the approval of Cases 707-S-12 and 725-V-12 by the		Cases 707-S-12 and 725-V-12 by the
18	Zoning Board of Appeals.			
19	The above special condition is required to ensure the following:			
20	That the storage structure is in compliance with the Zoning Ordinance.			
21				
22	Mr. Thorslan	d requested a roll call vote.		
23				
24		Capel-yes	Courson-yes	Miller-yes
25		Palmgren-yes	Passalacqua-yes	Thorsland-yes
2.0				

Mr. Hall informed the petitioner that he has received an approval for Case 707-S-12.

 Mr. Thorsland stated that the Board will now review the Summary of Evidence for Case 725-V-12.

Mr. Hall stated that a new Item #5.C.(2)(a) be added to the description of the variance included in the December 13, 2012, Finding of Fact. He said that new Item #5.C.(2)(a) should read as follows: Testimony received at the January 17, 2013, public hearing petitioner Dan Williams testified that play will not be closer than 15 feet of the north property line but once a player is tagged out they can cross the rope and stand in the 15 foot side yard. Mr. Hall stated that the addition of new Item #5.C.(2)(a) will assure that the petitioner's testimony was captured and would make it clear that there is really only a partial variance for the 15 foot side yard and applies to the active play and does not apply to a player that has been tagged out.

1	Mr. Thorsland asked the Board if they agreed to Mr. Hall's suggested new item of evidence and the Board
2	agreed.

Mr. Thorsland read proposed Special Condition A as follows:

 A. The petitioner and any future owner of Firemark Paintball shall have in place and maintain a rope 15 feet from only the north property line to delineate the exterior boundaries of the play fields at all times and a 4 feet tall woven wire fencing with signs stating "DO NOT GO BEYOND FENCE" to indicate the end of the property as indicated on the approved site plan.

The special condition stated above is required to ensure the following:

To prevent trespass of Firemark Paintball patrons onto neighboring properties.

Mr. Williams indicated that he agreed to proposed Special Condition A.

Mr. Thorsland entertained a motion to approved Special Condition A, as amended.

Mr. Passalacqua moved, seconded by Mr. Courson to approve Special Condition A, as amended. The motion carried by voice vote.

Mr. Thorsland stated that the Documents of Record for Case 725-V-12 are identical to the Documents of Record for Case 707-S-12 and staff will amend Case 725-V-12 accordingly.

Mr. Kass stated that Mr. Thorsland was correct.

Finding of Fact for Case 725-V-12:

From the documents of record and the testimony and exhibits received at the public hearing for zoning case 725-V-12 held on September 13, 2012, December 13, 2012, and January 17, 2013, the Zoning Board of Appeals of Champaign County finds that:

1. Special conditions and circumstances DO exist which are peculiar to the land or structure involved, which are not applicable to other similarly situated land and structures elsewhere in the same district.

Mr. Thorsland stated that special conditions and circumstances DO exist which are peculiar to the land or structure involved, which are not applicable to other similarly situated land and structures elsewhere in the same district because removing 25 feet from the back of the property would take a substantial amount of area from play which is necessary for the paintball business to operate and moving the fields in would require

productive farmland to be utilized for play.

2. Practical difficulties or hardships created by carrying out the strict letter of the regulations sought to be varied WILL prevent reasonable or otherwise permitted use of the land or structure or construction.

Mr. Passalacqua stated that practical difficulties or hardships created by carrying out the strict letter of the regulations sought to be varied WILL prevent reasonable or otherwise permitted use of the land or structure or construction because it would limit the size of the playing fields.

Ms. Capel stated that by carrying out the strict letter of the regulations would cause the desirable terrain to not be usable for play.

3. The special conditions, circumstances, hardships, or practical difficulties DO NOT result from actions of the applicant.

Ms. Capel stated that special conditions, circumstances, hardships, or practical difficulties DO NOT result from actions of the applicant because the play fields utilize the terrain and natural features that would otherwise be located in the minimum required rear yard.

Mr. Thorsland stated that the playing fields are located on non-productive land, therefore not taking any active farmland out of production.

4. The requested variance, subject to the proposed special condition, IS in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the Ordinance.

Mr. Thorsland stated that the requested variance, subject to the proposed special condition, IS in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the Ordinance because there will still be more than 600 feet to the nearest dwelling and that landowner has provided a letter of approval for the proposed variance.

5. The requested variance, subject to the proposed special condition, WILL NOT be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public health, safety, or welfare.

Mr. Miller stated that the requested variance, subject to the proposed special condition, WILL NOT be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public health, safety or welfare because the existing activities are non-invasive to bordering properties and will not create any health or safety issues.

Mr. Courson stated that the petitioner has constructed fencing to prevent trespass onto the neighboring

1

36 37

38

1/13/13

2	properties.		
3 4	Ms. Capel st	ated that the petitioner notifies patrons that trespass is prohibited.	
5	1		
6 7	6.	The requested variance, subject to the proposed special condition, IS the minimum variation that will make possible the reasonable use of the land/structure.	
8		variation that will make possible the reasonable use of the land/structure.	
9	The Board ha	ad no comments for Finding #6.	
10			
11	7.	The special condition, imposed herein is required for the particular purpose described	
12		below:	
13			
14		A. The petitioner and any future owner of Firemark Paintball shall have in place	
15		and maintain a rope 15 feet from only the north property line to delineate the	
16		exterior boundaries of the play fields at all times and a 4 feet tall woven wire	
17		fencing with signs stating "DO NOT GO BEYOND FENCE" to indicate the end	
18		of the property as indicated on the approved site plan.	
19		The special condition stated above is required to ensure the following:	
20		To prevent trespass of Firemark Paintball patrons onto neighboring properties.	
21 22	Mr. Thorslow	d antentained a motion to adopt the Symmony of Evidence Desympants of Desard and Finding	
23	Mr. Thorsland entertained a motion to adopt the Summary of Evidence, Documents of Record and Finding of Facts as amended.		
23 24	of Facts as af	nended.	
25	Mr Courso	n moved, seconded by Mr. Miller to adopt the Summary of Evidence, Documents of	
26		Finding of Facts as amended. The motion carried by voice vote.	
27	record and	I maing of I acts as amenaca. The motion carried by voice voice.	
28	Mr. Thorsland entertained a motion to move to the Final Determination for Case 725-V-12.		
29			
30	Ms. Capel n	loved, seconded by Mr. Courson to move to the Final Determination for Case 725-V-12.	
31		carried by voice vote.	
32			
33	Final Deterr	nination for Case 725-V-12:	
34			
35	Mr. Courson	n moved, seconded by Mr. Miller that the Champaign County Zoning Board of Appeals	

finds that, based upon the application, testimony, and other evidence received in this case, that the

requirements for approval in Section 9.1.9.C HAVE been met, and pursuant to the authority granted in Section 9.1.6.B of the Champaign County Zoning Ordinance, the Zoning Board of Appeals of

1	Champaign County finds that the Variance requested in Case 725-V-12 is hereby GRANTED WITH
2	CONDITIONS to the petitioner Daniel Williams to authorize the following in the CR Zoning District:
3	Part A. Variance for a rear yard of zero feet in lieu of the minimum required 25 feet; and Part B.
4	Variance for a side yard of zero feet in lieu of the minimum required 15 feet; and Part C. Variance
5	from a minimum separation from a front property line for parking spaces of zero feet in lieu of the
6	minimum required 10 feet, subject to the following special condition:

A. The petitioner and any future owner of Firemark Paintball shall have in place and maintain a rope 15 feet from only the north property line to delineate the exterior boundaries of the play fields at all times and a 4 feet tall woven wire fencing with signs stating "DO NOT GO BEYOND FENCE" to indicate the end of the property as indicated on the approved site plan.

The special condition stated above is required to ensure the following:

To prevent trespass of Firemark Paintball patrons onto neighboring properties.

Mr. Thorsland requested a roll call vote.

Palmgren-yes	Passalacqua-yes	Capel-yes
Courson-yes	Miller-yes	Thorsland-yes

Mr. Hall informed the petitioner that he has received an approval for Case 725-V-12.

Mr. Thorsland stated that the Board will take a five minute recess prior to hearing Case 724-V-12.

- The Board recessed at 7:42 p.m.
- 26 The Board resumed at 7:47 p.m.

6. New Public Hearings

- Case 724-V-12 Petitioner: Jedd Swisher Request to authorize the following in the CR Conservation-Recreation Zoning District: Part A. Authorize the following on Lot 2 of Phillips Acres Subdivision: 1. Variance for a lot area of .78 acre in lieu of the minimum required 1 acre; and 2. Variance for an average lot width of 104.15 feet in lieu of the minimum required 200 feet; and 3. Variance to authorize the use of Lot 2 separately from Lot 3 in lieu of the requirement that when two or more contiguous lots that do not meet any dimensional, geometric, lot access or other standards are brought into common ownership the lots shall be considered one lot, on the subject property. Part B. Authorize the
- common ownership the lots shall be considered one lot, on the subject property. Part B. Authorize the following on Lot 3 of Phillips Acres Subdivision: 1. Variance for a lot area of .77 acre in lieu of the
- following on Lot 3 of Phillips Acres Subdivision: 1. Variance for a lot area of .77 acre in lieu of the minimum required 1 acre; and 2. Variance for an average lot width of 104.40 feet in lieu of the

minimum required 200 feet; and 3. Variance to authorize the use of Lot 3 separately from Lot 2 in lieu of the requirement that when two or more contiguous lots that do not meet any dimensional, geometric, lot access or other standards are brought in to common ownership the lots shall be considered one lot, on the subject property. Location: Lots 2 and 3 of Phillip's Acres Subdivision in the Northeast Quarter of Section 12 of Urbana Township and commonly known as the dwelling at 1762 CR 1650N, Urbana.

Mr. Thorsland informed the audience that Case 724-V-12 is an Administrative Case and as such the County allows anyone the opportunity to cross examine any witness. He said that at the proper time he will ask for a show of hands for those who would like to cross examine and each person will be called upon. He requested that anyone called to cross examine go to the cross examination microphone to ask any questions. He said that those who desire to cross examine are not required to sign the witness register but are requested to clearly state their name before asking any questions. He noted that no new testimony is to be given during the cross examination. He said that attorneys who have complied with Article 7.6 of the ZBA By-Laws are exempt from cross examination.

Mr. Thorsland informed the audience that anyone wishing to testify for any public hearing tonight must sign the witness register for that public hearing. He reminded the audience that when they sign the witness register they are signing an oath.

Mr. Thorsland asked the petitioners if they desired to make a statement outlining the nature of their request.

Mr. Jedd Swisher, who resides at 1762 CR 1650N, Urbana, stated that he owns the two lots which are the subject of this case. He said that his twenty-two year old daughter has a five year old son and due to her employment Mr. Swisher and his wife will care for their grandson during the week and on weekends. He said that instead of his daughter getting a place somewhere else they decided that it would be better to build a home on the empty lot next to their home. He said that the property is located within the St. Joseph School District which is the district that his daughter desires for her son to attend.

Mr. Thorsland asked the Board if there were any questions for Mr. Swisher and there were none.

32 Mr. Thorsland asked if staff had any questions for Mr. Swisher and there were none.

34 Mr. Passalacqua asked Mr. Hall if any construction has occurred on the empty lot.

36 Mr. Hall stated no.

38 Mr. Hall stated that this is one of those rare cases where the facts are pretty simple. He said that this is an

ZBA

1/13/13

1 old subdivision and the Land Use Map indicates that there are separate dwellings on almost every lot that 2 was platted. He said that he does not know how Mr. Swisher came to be the lucky owner of one of the lots 3 which remained vacant but the lot is not one acre and is does not have an average lot width of 200 feet 4 therefore the variances are required. Mr. Hall said that Mr. Swisher has provided evidence that he has 5 already received a septic permit for the vacant lot and there is plenty of buildable area outside of the mapped 6 floodplain. Mr. Hall stated that permitting a house on the vacant lot would prevent the use of farmland 7 anywhere else for a home. He said that this variance is very straight forward and the petitioner has done his 8 homework by obtaining the permit for the septic system.

9

Mr. Thorsland asked the Board if there were any questions for staff and there were none.

11

Mr. Courson stated that he noticed that the site plan indicates that the water line which crosses the septic field which is not allowed by the health department.

14 15

16 17 Mr. Swisher stated that he had Sims Well Drilling Company at the site yesterday and they informed him that the water line would cross the new septic system and his existing septic system as well therefore the water line will be directed to the front of the lot and then back to the new home. He said that he has not had a chance to revise the site plan to indicate the new location of the water line.

18 19

Ms. Capel asked Mr. Swisher if there would be an issue with the shared well if both properties are sold.

21

Mr. Swisher stated no. He said that Sims Well Drilling Company informed him that the one well is capable of supplying four homes with water service.

24

Mr. Kass stated that it is common practice for modern subdivisions to share a well and some even share septic systems.

27

Mr. Swisher stated that he was informed by the Champaign County Health Department that both homes could share the well but could not share the septic system.

30

Mr. Thorsland thanked Mr. Swisher for obtaining the septic permit and for not constructing anything on thelot prior to this hearing.

33

Mr. Passalacqua asked Mr. Swisher if he could revise the annotated site plan tonight indicating the newlocation for the water line.

36

Mr. Swisher stated yes. He said that he purchased Lot 3, his residence, from the deceased owner of Cross Construction and four years later he purchased Lot 2 separately. He said that the two lots have separate real

ZBA

1/13/13

estate tax bills therefore he believed that he had two separate buildable lots but when he visited the Planning
 and Zoning Department he was informed that the lots were treated as one lot because he owned them both.

3

Mr. Passalacqua asked Mr. Kass if any communication from the neighbors has been received by staff.

4 5 6

Mr. Kass stated no.

7 8

Mr. Swisher stated that he spoke with his neighbors about his proposal and they indicated no opposition.

9

10 Ms. Capel stated that the proposed use of the lot would be within the characteristic of the neighborhood.

11

Mr. Thorsland stated that this is a better use for the vacant lot rather than utilizing productive farmland for a home somewhere else in the County.

14 15

Mr. Thorsland asked the Board if there were any additional questions or comments for Mr. Swisher or staff and there were none.

16 17 18

Mr. Thorsland read the proposed special condition as follows:

19 20 A. The Zoning Administrator shall not issue a Zoning Compliance Certificate for the proposed construction until the petitioner has received a Zoning Use Permit for the existing shed on Lot 2.

Permit for the existing shed on Lot 2.
The above special condition is required to ensure the following:

That the storage structure is in compliance with the Zoning Ordinance.

23 24

Mr. Swisher stated that the shed existed on the property when he purchased it. He said that Mr. Kass informed him that a permit was required for the shed's construction and no permit was issued therefore before a Zoning Compliance Certificate for the proposed house could be issued on Lot 2 he would need to obtain a permit for the shed. He said that he intends to keep the shed for personal storage therefore he has no issue with obtaining the permit.

30 31

Mr. Thorsland asked Mr. Swisher if he agreed to Special Condition A.

32

33 Mr. Swisher stated yes.

34

35 Mr. Thorsland entertained a motion to approve the condition as read.

36

37 Mr. Passalacqua moved, seconded by Mr. Courson to approve Special Condition A as read. The motion carried by voice vote.

1 2

3 4

5 6

7 8

9 10 11

12 13

15 16

14

17 18

19 20

21 22 23

24

25 26

28 29

27

30 31 32

33

34 35 36

37 38 Mr. Thorsland stated that there are no new documents to add to the Documents of Record therefore the Board will move the Findings of Fact.

Findings of Fact for Case 724-V-12:

From the documents of record and the testimony and exhibits received at the public hearing for zoning case 724-V-12 held on January 17, 2013, the Zoning Board of Appeals of Champaign County finds that:

1. Special conditions and circumstances DO exist which are peculiar to the land or structure involved, which are not applicable to other similarly situated land and structures elsewhere in the same district.

Mr. Courson stated that special conditions and circumstances DO exist which are peculiar to the land or structure involved, which are not applicable to other similarly situated land and structures elsewhere in the same district because the subdivision was platted prior to zoning in 1973.

Mr. Thorsland stated that no additional land is available on either side to allow room for expansion.

2. Practical difficulties or hardships created by carrying out the strict letter of the regulations sought to be varied WILL prevent reasonable or otherwise permitted use of the land or structure or construction.

Mr. Courson stated that practical difficulties or hardships created by carrying out the strict letter of the regulations sought to be varied WILL prevent reasonable or otherwise permitted use of the land or structure or construction because under the Zoning Ordinance the lot is non-conforming therefore no permit could be issued, rendering the lot unbuildable.

3. The special conditions, circumstances, hardships, or practical difficulties DO NOT result from actions of the applicant.

Mr. Palmgren stated that the special conditions, circumstances, hardships, or practical difficulties DO NOT result from actions of the applicant because the subdivision was platted in 1963 which was prior to zoning. He said that the lot has an area located in the floodplain which limits the buildable area.

4. The requested variance, subject to the proposed special condition, IS in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the Ordinance.

Mr. Thorsland stated that the requested variance, subject to the proposed special conditions, IS in harmony
with the general purpose and intent of the Ordinance because it allows construction consistent with the
surrounding area and does not force construction onto adjacent farmland.

5. The requested variance, subject to the proposed special condition, WILL NOT be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public health, safety, or welfare.

Mr. Passalacqua stated that the requested variance, subject to the proposed special condition, WILL NOT be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public health, safety, or welfare because the building is consistent with neighboring properties.

Mr. Thorsland stated that the petitioner has obtained a septic permit for the new construction on Lot 2 from the County Health Department.

6. The requested variance, subject to the proposed special condition, IS the minimum variation that will make possible the reasonable use of the land/structure.

Mr. Thorsland stated that the requested variance, subject to the proposed special condition, IS the minimum variation that will make possible the reasonable use of the land/structure.

7. The special condition imposed herein is required for the particular purpose described below:

A. The Zoning Administrator shall not issue a Zoning Compliance Certificate for the proposed construction until the petitioner has received a Zoning Use Permit for the existing shed on Lot 2.

The above special condition is required to ensure the following:

That the storage structure is in compliance with the Zoning Ordinance.

Mr. Thorsland entertained a motion to adopt the Summary of Evidence, Documents of Record and Findings of Fact as amended.

Mr. Palmgren moved, seconded by Mr. Courson to adopt the Summary of Evidence, Documents of Record and Findings of Fact as amended. The motion carried by voice vote.

Mr. Thorsland entertained a motion to move to the Final Determination for Case 724-V-12.

Mr. Passalacqua moved, seconded by Mr. Courson to move to the Final Determination for Case 724-

1 V-12. The motion carried by voice vote.

2

Final Determination for Case 724-V-12:

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

Mr. Courson moved, seconded by Ms. Capel that the Champaign County Zoning Board of Appeals finds that, based upon the application, testimony, and other evidence received in this case, that the requirements for approval in Section 9.1.9.C HAVE been met, and pursuant to the authority granted by Section 9.1.6.B of the Champaign County Zoning Ordinance, the Zoning Board of Appeals of Champaign County determines that the Variance requested in Case 724-V-12 is hereby GRANTED WITH CONDITIONS to the petitioner Jedd Swisher to authorize the following in the CR Conservation Zoning District: Part A. Authorize the following on Lot 2 of Phillips Acres Subdivision: 1. Variance for a lot area of .78 acre in lieu of the minimum required 1 acre; and 2. Variance for an average lot width of 1.04.15 feet in lieu of the minimum required 200 feet; and 3. Variance to authorize the use of Lot 2 separately from Lot 3 in lieu of the requirement that when two or more contiguous lots that do not meet any dimensional, geometric, lot access or other standards are brought into common ownership the lots shall be considered on lot. Part B. Authorize the following on Lot 3 of Phillips Acres Subdivision: 1. Variance for a lot area of .77 acre in lieu of the minimum required 1 acre; and 2. Variance for an average lot width of 104.40 feet in lieu of the minimum required 200 feet; and 3. Variance to authorized the use of Lot 3 separately from Lot 2 in lieu of the requirement that when two or more contiguous lots that do not meet any dimensional, geometric, lot access or other standards are brought into common ownership the lots shall be considered one lot.

22 23 24

A. The Zoning Administrator shall not issue a Zoning Compliance Certificate for the proposed construction until the petitioner has received a Zoning Use Permit for the existing shed on Lot 2.

26

The above special condition is required to ensure the following:

That the storage structure is in compliance with the Zoning Ordinance.

27 28

25

Mr. Thorsland requested a roll call vote.

Subject to the following special condition:

29 30 31

Miller-yes	Palmgren-yes	Passalacqua-yes
Capel-yes	Courson-yes	Thorsland-yes

32 33 34

Mr. Hall informed the petitioner that he has received an approval for Case 724-V-12.

35 36

7. Staff Report

37

38 None

ZBA 1/13/13

36

37

38

motion carried by voice vote.

1 2 8. **Other Business** 3 A. November and December 2012 Monthly Reports 4 None 5 **B.** Review of Docket Mr. Hall stated that currently staff is docketing for the March 28th meeting. He said that several text 6 7 amendments will be heard at the next meeting. 8 9 C. Review and approval of 2013 ZBA Meeting Calendar 10 Ms. Capel noted that she will be absent from the February 14, 2013, meeting. 11 12 Mr. Thorsland requested that the Board review the calendar as submitted. He asked the Board if they 13 desired to cancel the December 26, 2013, meeting. 14 15 Ms. Capel stated that the Board normally cancels this meeting anyway therefore it would make sense to just go ahead and cancel now so that it can be removed from the approved calendar. 16 17 18 Mr. Thorsland entertained a motion to cancel the December 26, 2013, meeting. 19 20 Ms. Capel moved, seconded by Mr. Palmgren to cancel the December 26, 2013, meeting. The motion 21 carried by voice vote. 22 23 Mr. Thorsland entertained a motion to approve the 2013 Planning and Zoning Calendar as amended. 24 25 Ms. Capel moved, seconded by Mr. Passalacqua to approve the 2013 Planning and Zoning Calendar 26 as amended. The motion carried by voice vote. 27 28 9. Audience Participation with respect to matters other than cases pending before the Board 29 30 None 31 32 **10.** Adjournment 33 34 Mr. Thorsland entertained a motion to adjourn the meeting. 35

Mr. Courson moved, seconded by Mr. Palmgren to adjourn the January 17, 2013, meeting. The

AS APPROVED FEBRUARY 28, 2013

1/13/13

DRAFT SUBJECT TO APPROVAL DRAFT ZBA //

DRAFT SUBJECT TO APPROVAL DRAFT ZBA //