

CHAMPAIGN COUNTY BOARD COMMITTEE AGENDA

LABOR SUBCOMMITTEE

Brookens Administrative Center, Meeting Room 4

1776 E. Washington, Urbana Friday, May 7, 2010 – 4:30 p.m.

CHAIR: Sam Smucker

MEMBERS: Tom Betz, Greg Knott, Brendan McGinty, Alan Nudo

<u>ITEM</u> PAGE NO.

- I. CALL TO ORDER
- II. ROLL CALL
- III. APPROVAL OF AGENDA/ADDENDUM
- IV. APPROVAL OF MINUTES
 - A. August 3, 2009 *1-3
 - B. August 17, 2009 Open Session Minutes *4-7
 - C. August 17, 2009 Closed Session Minutes
 - D. September 24, 2009 Open Session Minutes *8-9
 - E. September 24, 2009 Closed Session Minutes
- V. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION
- VI. CLOSED SESSION PURSUANT TO 5 ILCS 120/2(c)2 TO CONSIDER COLLECTIVE NEGOTIATING MATTERS BETWEEN THE COUNTY AND ITS EMPLOYEES OR THEIR REPRESENTATIVES
- VII. OTHER BUSINESS
- VIII. ADJOURNMENT

	CHAMPAIGN COUNTY BOARD COMMITTEE MINUTES
LABOR SUBCOMMITT Monday, August 3, 2009 Brookens Administrative 1776 E. Washington St., U	Center, Putman Meeting Room
5:15 p.m.	
MEMBERS PRESENT:	Tom Betz, Greg Knott, Brendan McGinty, Alan Nudo, Sam Smucker (Chair)
MEMBERS ABSENT:	None
OTHERS PRESENT:	Kat Bork (Administrative Secretary), Deb Busey (County Administrator), Alan Kurtz (County Board Member), C. Pius Weibel (County Board Chair), Nora Stewart (AFSCME Local 900 President)
CALL TO ORDER	
Smucker called the r	neeting to order at 5:24 p.m.
ROLL CALL	
Betz, Knott, McGint the presence of a quorum.	y, Nudo, and Smucker were present at the time of roll call, establishing
APPROVAL OF AGENDA	A/ADDENDUM
MOTION by Betz to ayes.	o approve the agenda; seconded by McGinty. Motion carried with all
PUBLIC PARTICIPATIO	<u>N</u>
There was no public	participation.
CHAIR'S REPORT	
	from the Chair. Busey announced another Labor Subcommittee at the next couple of weeks. The committee agreed 4:30 p.m. was an
Weibel entered the m	neeting at 5:28 p.m.

INVITATION TO ATTEND LABOR-MANAGEMENT MEETING

Weibel stated that Tara McCauley, the AFSCME staff representative, asked for a labor-management meeting at the Nursing Home, which was arranged approximately a month ago. Right before the meeting, McCauley sent an email to Weibel, certain County Board members, and selected Nursing Home Board of Directors members asking for representatives from both boards to attend the labor-management meeting. Contract negotiations were ongoing at that time, so Weibel responded that the Board members should not attend such meetings during the negotiation process. Negotiations have completed, though the contracts have not been signed, and McCauley has made another request for a labor-management meeting with representatives from the County Board and Nursing Home Board of Directors in attendance. Weibel felt the Labor Subcommittee should make a decision and asked Smucker who should attend a labor-management meeting. Smucker asked for an explanation of why McCauley was asking for the meeting.

Betz expressed concern because the neither the collective County Board nor individual Board members should be involved in the day-to-day operations of departments other than where it is statutorily or contractually required. If the County Board takes on the role of listening to grievances, it has the potential to contradict the people it has hired to operate the departments and Nursing Home. For example, Busey was hired to run the Administrative Services Department and it is not his position as a Board member to sit down with an employee that Busey needs to discipline, fire, or hire. Betz wanted to develop a policy to prevent individual Board members from being pulled into labor negotiations or grievances. Smucker concurred with Betz and said the Negotiating Team was the appropriate body to address issues. Weibel said the union could want to discuss a multitude of issues, including issues that should be bargained. The Negotiating Team can bring issues to the Labor Subcommittee for further discussion at their discretion. Weibel thought it might be more appropriate for the Nursing Home Board of Directors to attend the meeting. Smucker warned involving either board instead of the Negotiating Team could create confusion regarding who is responsible for negotiations. There needs to be a clear line of authority.

Busey agreed and pointed out that the collective bargaining agreements define labor-management meetings. The assumption in the agreements is that management will attend those meetings, not County Board members who are policy-makers. If a manager felt he or she needed direction from the County Board regarding a labor-management meeting, it is incumbent on the manager to ask for the Board's direction. Weibel was happy to agree, he just wanted to make it a policy. Betz totally agreed with Busey's statements as he does not expect to monitor department heads as a Board member. The committee discussed the grievance process available to employees in the contracts. Betz asked if Andrew Buffenbarger, the Nursing Home Administrator, requested Board members to attend the labor-management meeting. Weibel had not contacted Buffenbarger about this issue. Betz wanted Buffenbarger to know the County Board has not hung him out to dry. They believe it is Buffenbarger's job to manage the Nursing Home and the Board would consult with him upon his request. Busey stated the new contract has been negotiated over the last nine months and contains even more definitive labor-management language.

MOTION by Betz that neither the collective County Board nor the individual County Board members would attend labor-management meetings unless the department manager or County Administrator requests the Board members' presence.

95

McGinty asked to add that the County Board would continue to operate according to its current lines of delineation with negotiating with the Negotiating Team. The committee concurred. Smucker recommended a Negotiating Team member be the one to attend a labor-management meeting if it is requested by the manager. This would ensure the Board representative is also a Labor Subcommittee member. The committee preferred that the County Board members not attend labor-management meetings, but were willing to concede at the request of a manager. Busey noted there might be circumstances where an administrator or manager may ask non-Labor Subcommittee member to attend a meeting because of the relevance of that member's participation. It was agreed that participation by non-Labor Subcommittee members would be cleared with the Labor Chair and County Board Chair. Betz agreed to consider McGinty's addition as a friendly amendment.

104 105 106

McGinty seconded Betz's motion.

107 108

109

110

111

112 113

114

Smucker asked to have a statement written for the next meeting. Busey suggested writing it as a resolution to be sent to the County Board. Betz remarked union employees communicate with the County Board members and he does not want to stop that practice, but the Board cannot address grievances or engage in negotiations. He verified the employees' First Amendment rights are not being cut off; the committee is simply creating a practical policy. He confirmed no County Board member will be sent to tomorrow's labor-management meeting at the Nursing Home because there has not been a request from Andrew Buffenbarger. Busey agreed to inform Buffenbarger tomorrow.

115 116

Motion carried with all ayes.

117 118

119

OTHER BUSINESS

120 121

There was no other business.

122 123

ADJOURNMENT

124 125

Smucker adjourned the meeting at 5:45 p.m.

126 127

Respectfully submitted,

128

129 Kat Bork

131

132 133

130 Administrative Secretary

> Secy's note: The minutes reflect the order of the agenda and may not necessarily reflect the order of business conducted at the meeting.

1 CHAMPAIGN COUNTY BOARD 2 **COMMITTEE MINUTES** 3 4 5 LABOR SUBCOMMITTEE 6 Monday, August 17, 2009 Brookens Administrative Center, Putman Meeting Room 7 8 1776 E. Washington St., Urbana 9 10 4:30 p.m. 11 12 MEMBERS PRESENT: Tom Betz, Greg Knott, Brendan McGinty, Alan Nudo, 13 Sam Smucker (Chair) 14 15 **MEMBERS ABSENT:** None 16 17 OTHERS PRESENT: Kat Bork (Administrative Secretary), Deb Busey (County 18 Administrator), Alan Kurtz (County Board Member), C. Pius Weibel 19 (County Board Chair), John Farney (AFSCME Local 900) 20 21 **CALL TO ORDER** 22 23 Smucker called the meeting to order at 4:34 p.m. 24 25 **ROLL CALL** 26 27 Betz, Knott, McGinty, Nudo, and Smucker were present at the time of roll call, establishing 28 the presence of a quorum. 29 30 APPROVAL OF AGENDA/ADDENDUM 31 32 MOTION by Betz to approve the agenda; seconded by Nudo. Motion carried with all 33 ayes. 34 35 APPROVAL OF MINUTES 36 37 **MOTION** by Betz to approve the February 10, 2009 open and closed session minutes: 38 seconded by McGinty. Motion carried with all ayes. 39 40 **PUBLIC PARTICIPATION** 41 42 John Farney asked to speak as a representative of AFSCME Local 900. He stated AFSCME Local 900 opposes the resolution establishing a labor-management meeting policy and thinks it is a 43 44 cowardly resolution. It says that County Board does not want to talk to its employees, who are 45 constituents and taxpayers in Champaign County. He understood the County Board was tired of dealing with the Nursing Home, but they would not have to deal with it on a constant basis if they 46 47 fixed the problems at the Nursing Home. The union employees want to talk with their Board

representatives and have the Board members come to meetings to see what is really going on from

48

both sides. Farney was worried about the resolution's language regarding County managers because County Board members were elected to manage, not Deb Busey or the Nursing Home Administrator. He wanted to see County Board members telling Deb Busey and other managers what meetings to attend, not the other way around.

MOTION by Betz to move item 8 before the closed session on the agenda; seconded by McGinty. Motion carried with all ayes.

CLOSED SESSION PURSUANT TO 5 ILCS 120/2(c)2 TO CONSIDER COLLECTIVE NEGOTIATING MATTERS BETWEEN THE COUNTY AND ITS EMPLOYEES OR THEIR REPRESENTATIVES

MOTION by Betz to enter into closed session pursuant to 5 ILCS 120/2 (c) 2 to consider collective negotiating matters between Champaign County and its employees or their representatives. He further moved that the following individuals remain present: the County Administrator and the Recording Secretary. Motion seconded by McGinty. Motion carried with a vote of 5 to 0. Betz, Knott, McGinty, Nudo, and Smucker voted in favor of the motion. The committee entered into closed session at 5:07 p.m. The committee resumed open session at 5:37 p.m.

RECOMMENDATION OF APPOINTMENT OF NEGOTIATING TEAM FOR AFSCME GENERAL UNIT CONTRACT; FOP CORRECTIONS CONTRACT; & FOP CORRECTIONS SERGEANTS CONTRACT

Smucker nominated himself and Nudo to the Negotiating Team for the AFSCME General Unit contract negotiations. Smucker nominated Knott to the Negotiating Teams for the FOP Corrections and Corrections Sergeants contract negotiations.

MOTION by Nudo to approve the nominations; seconded by Betz. Motion carried with all ayes.

OTHER BUSINESS

 Approval of Resolution Establishing a Policy Regarding Attendance of County Board Members at Labor Management Meetings or Meetings Required Pursuant to Collective Bargaining Agreements

A revised resolution was distributed to the committee. McGinty heard what Farney said about wanting access to County Board members, but that was not the issue in the resolution. The County Board has a set Negotiating Team with Board members and professional staff who attend the multiple sessions and are informed about the contract issues. The Negotiating Team reports back to the full Board with information when it is appropriate. McGinty thought it would be counterproductive and inefficient to change that procedure. The designated team should do the negotiating.

Betz agreed with McGinty and noted the Open Meetings Act excludes other Board members from negotiating sessions. The Negotiating Team is designated with the authority to act by the County Board. This resolution addressed the different question of labor-management meetings.

These meetings are built into the contracts and are not about negotiating. The County Board hires professionals to manage its many departments, pays them well, and they answer to the Board. Betz did not think it was County Board members' role to become part of labor-management relation meetings when the members do not run the departments on a day-to-day basis. He described his experience on a Negotiating Team and passing on feedback from constituents to the appropriate department head. As a County Board member, he should not be in room when Buffenbarger is hearing employee complaints because that is why Buffenbarger was hired. Betz wants people to understand the proper channels must be followed to prevent end-around techniques. There needs to be recognition that a chain of command exists. While the Board is ultimately responsible, they should not be involved in the day-to-day operations of departments.

Knott completely agreed with Betz's comments and remarked the County Board's role is policy-making while managers are hired to manage. The County employees feel free to talk to him outside of the office about problems and he will take other concerns to the appropriate manager because it is the proper process. The County Board owes it to their department heads to follow this process. Individual employees are still free to talk to County Board members.

Betz thought there was also a union rights issue because the Board might be hearing from only a small section of the union who do not represent the whole entity. The County Board could commit an unfair labor practice by adopting the position of a small minority of the union and has to be careful.

Smucker asked where Board members should draw the line about not discussing employment related matters with an employee. Knott emphasized that no single Board member has the authority to bargain. Smucker noted employees can lobby Board members on any issue as citizens. Knott expressed that any operational issues reported by an employee should be referred to the department head or elected official by the County Board member. That process is important. There is implied pressure when a Board member is asking a manager about an issue; this balances the fact that the County Board cannot negotiate.

Kurtz asked if Board members were allowed to attend labor-management meetings as observers only. Knott stated they cannot shake the role of a County Board member and it would circumvent the process. Kurtz said he wanted to observe the negotiations and labor-management meetings. Betz clarified that labor-management meetings are not negotiations. Busey explained labor-management meetings are defined by the contracts as meetings between the employer (management) and the union related to specific operational and contract issues. These are not negotiating sessions. The resolution allows for management to request a County Board member attend a labor-management meeting when the manager believes there is a benefit to having a Board member at the meeting. She has attended labor-management meetings and they typically talk about the day-to-day implementation of the contract terms in operations, not policy. She pointed out management does not invite specific union employees to attend a labor-management meeting; the bargaining agent brings those employees to the table. It would not be appropriate for the bargaining agent to specifically invite certain County Board members to a labor-management meeting. It seems appropriate that management continues to determine who are the appropriate management representatives to deal with the identified issues for discussion at the labor-management meetings.

 McGinty supported letting the professional managers do their jobs because there are appropriate avenues to lobby the County Board. He wondered if the resolution was needed at all. Weibel thought the resolution did clarify the issue, but did not think the yellow sections in the revised resolution were necessary. Betz wanted to have a policy to educate all 27 Board members and provide a basis for management to refer to a policy when the issue comes up in the future. This gives management that protection because it is a County Board policy. The committee continued to discuss the proposed resolution.

MOTION by Betz to approve the Resolution Establishing a Policy Regarding Attendance of County Board Members at Labor Management Meetings or Meetings Required Pursuant to Collective Bargaining Agreements without the yellow highlighted sections; seconded by McGinty.

The committee discussed altering the verbiage of the resolution to avoid a confrontational tone. Betz and McGinty agreed to a friendly amendment to include language in the third Whereas paragraph to read "the Champaign County Labor Subcommittee recognizes policies and establishes that labor-management meeting and other meetings required pursuant to any of the County's collective bargaining agreements are a venue in which management employees, bargaining agents, and employees can discuss issues that are related....County Board members may attend those meetings upon invitation by the appropriate management representatives."

Weibel exited the meeting at 5:03 p.m.

McGinty expressed the policy's intention is not to cut off communication to County Board members, but to ensure concerns are directed to the knowledgeable parties to have consistency. Smucker noted nothing in the resolution restricts employees from lobbying the County Board. Board members need to be cautious on how they respond to employees.

Weibel returned to the meeting at 5:06 p.m.

Motion carried as amended with all ayes.

ADJOURNMENT

Smucker adjourned the meeting at 5:39 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

177 Kat Bork178 Administrative Secretary179

Secy's note: The minutes reflect the order of the agenda and may not necessarily reflect the order of business conducted at the meeting.

1 2 3		CHAMPAIGN COUNTY BOARD COMMITTEE MINUTES	
4 5 6 7 8 9	LABOR SUBCOMMITT Thursday, September 24, Brookens Administrative 1776 E. Washington St., U	2009 Center, Putman Meeting Room	
10 11	6:30 p.m.		
12 13 14	MEMBERS PRESENT:	Tom Betz, Greg Knott, Brendan McGinty, Alan Nudo, Sam Smucker (Chair)	
15	MEMBERS ABSENT:	None	
16 17 18 19 20 21	OTHERS PRESENT:	Kat Bork (Administrative Secretary), Andrew Buffenbarger (Nursing Home Administrator), Deb Busey (County Administrator), David DeThorne (Senior Assistant State's Attorney), Alan Kurtz (County Board Member), C. Pius Weibel (County Board Chair)	
22	CALL TO ORDER		
23 24 25	•	neeting to order at 6:31 p.m.	
26 27	ROLL CALL		
28 29 30	Betz, Knott, McGint the presence of a quorum.	Betz, Knott, McGinty, Nudo, and Smucker were present at the time of roll call, establishing e presence of a quorum.	
31	APPROVAL OF AGENDA	A/ADDENDUM	
32 33 34 35	MOTION by Knott ayes.	to approve the agenda; seconded by McGinty. Motion carried with all	
36 37	PUBLIC PARTICIPATIO	<u>N</u>	
38 39	There was no public	participation.	
40 41 42 43		SUANT TO 5 ILCS 120/2(c)2 TO CONSIDER COLLECTIVE RS BETWEEN THE COUNTY AND ITS EMPLOYEES OR VES	
44 45 46 47 48	collective negotiating matter representatives. He further a Administrator, Nursing Hom	to enter into closed session pursuant to 5 ILCS 120/2 (c) 2 to consider is between Champaign County and its employees or their moved that the following individuals remain present: County are Administrator, County's legal counsel, and the Recording Secretary. Ty. Motion carried with a vote of 5 to 0. Betz, Knott, McGinty,	

49 Nudo, and Smucker voted in favor of the motion. The committee entered into closed session at 6:33 p.m. DeThorne entered the closed session at 6:35 p.m. The committee resumed open session at 50 7:00 p.m. 51 52 **OTHER BUSINESS** 53 54 55 There was no other business. 56 57 **ADJOURNMENT** 58 59 Smucker adjourned the meeting at 7:01 p.m. 60 61 Respectfully submitted, 62 63 Kat Bork 64 Administrative Secretary 65 66 67 Secy's note: The minutes reflect the order of the agenda and may not necessarily reflect the order of business conducted at the

meeting.