



**CHAMPAIGN COUNTY BOARD**  
**COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE – ELUC/Highway/County Facilities**  
*County of Champaign, Urbana, Illinois*  
*Tuesday, February 7, 2012 – 6:00 p.m.*

---

*Lyle Shields Meeting Room, Brookens Administrative Center*  
*1776 E. Washington Street, Urbana, Illinois*

	<b>Page No.</b>
<b>I. <u>Call to Order</u></b>	
<b>II. <u>Roll Call</u></b>	
<b>III. <u>Approval of Minutes</u></b>	
A. Committee of the Whole – January 10, 2012	1-8
<b>IV. <u>Approval of Agenda/Addenda</u></b>	
<b>V. <u>Public Participation</u></b>	
<b>VI. <u>Communications</u></b>	
<b>VII. <u>Environment &amp; Land Use</u></b>	
A. <u>Annual Report of Land Use and Land Resource Management Trends with Champaign County</u>	9-13
B. <u>Proposed Minor Changes to Champaign County Land Resource Management Plan – map included separately</u>	14-17
C. <u>Direction to Zoning Administrator Regarding Proposed Zoning Ordinance Test Amendment to Amend Limits on Vehicles and Equipment in Rural Home Occupations</u>	18-25
D. <u>Monthly Report</u> (to be distributed)	
E. <u>Other Business</u>	
F. <u>Designation of Items to be Placed on Consent Agenda</u>	
<b>VIII. <u>Highway &amp; Transportation</u></b>	
A. <u>Monthly Reports</u> - County & Township Motor Fuel Tax Claims – January, 2012	26
B. <u>Petition – Philo Road District</u>	27-29
C. <u>Resolution Appropriating County Motor Fuel Tax Funds for the Salary and Estimated Expenses of the county Engineer for the period from December 1, 2011 through November 30, 2012</u>	30-31

- D. Resolution Appropriating \$26,284.00 from County Motor Fuel Tax funds for Champaign County's Share of the Champaign-Urbana Urbanized Area Transportation Study – Section #11-00000-00-ES 32-33
- E. Resolution Appropriating \$26,284.00 from County Motor Fuel Tax Funds for Champaign County's Share of the Champaign-Urbana Urbanized Area Transportation Study – Section #12-00000-00-ES 34-35
- F. Resolution Appropriating \$370,000.00 from County Bridge Funds for the Replacement of Structure #010-7048 Located on CH 8 (Foosland Rd) in Brown Road District – Section #11-00981-00-BR. 36-37
- G. Olympian Drive Update
- H. Resolution Appropriating County Motor Fuel Tax Funds for the Improvement of County Highways 8 & 30 38-39
- I. Jurisdictional Transfer of Highway in Foosland (for information)
- J. Other Business
- K. Designation of Items to be Placed on the Consent Agenda

**IX. County Facilities**

- A. Physical Plant FY2011 Year End Budget Report 40
- B. Physical Plant Monthly Reports 41-44
- C. 202 Art Bartell Construction Project Monthly Report 45
- D. East Campus Storm Water Management Project Update
- E. Courthouse Sound System Project Update
- F. Jail Space Improvement Project 46-47
1. Establishment of Jail Space Improvement Project Planning Team  
Dan Walsh, Sheriff – **Project Team Leader**  
Robert Cravens, Lt. –Corrections – **Operations Track Manager**  
Julia Reitz, State's Attorney (or her designee)  
Joe Gordon, Director-Court Services  
Judge Difanis (or his designee(s))  
Alan Reinhart, Facilities Director – **Facilities Track Manager**  
Deb Busey, County Administrator  
Tom Betz, Deputy Chair – County Facilities  
John Jay, Assistant Deputy Chair – County Facilities
  2. Approval of Release of RFP for Consultant Services for Needs Assessment and Pre-Design Planning

3. Cost Assessment and Comparative Study of Jail Space Solutions at Satellite Jail and Downtown Correctional Center – *Described and Accomplished under Item 2 above*
4. Garner Together Impactors in Social Justice System to Present Information Regarding Improvements and Implementing Suggestions in the Jailing Communities Report – *Outside scope of County Facilities Committee Area of Responsibility*
5. Funding Model Based on Current Available Resources – *to be distributed*

G. Other Business

H. Designation of Items to be Placed on the Consent Agenda

X. **Other Business**

XI. **Adjournment**

1 **CHAMPAIGN COUNTY BOARD**  
2 **Committee of the Whole Minutes**

---

3  
4 *Tuesday, January 10, 2012 – 6:00pm*  
5 *Lyle Shields Meeting Room*  
6 *1776 E. Washington St., Urbana, IL*  
7  
8

9 **MEMBERS PRESENT:** Alix, Ammons, Anderson, Bensyl, Berkson, Betz, Cowart, Esry,  
10 Holderfield, James, Jay, Jones, Kurtz, Langenheim, Maxwell, McGinty, Michaels, O'Connor,  
11 Petrie Quisenberry, Richards, Rosales, Schroeder, Weibel  
12

13 **MEMBERS ABSENT:** Carter, Moser, Nudo  
14

15 **OTHERS PRESENT:** John Hall (Zoning Administrator), Deb Busey (County  
16 Administrator), Alan Reinhart (Facilities Director), Tom Berns and Chris Billing (Berns, Clancy  
17 & Assoc.), John Cooper (Asst. County Engineer), Joel Fletcher (Asst. State's Attorney), Eileen  
18 Sierra, Andy Kulcsycki and Amy Marchant (CRIS), Ranae Wolken (recording secretary), John  
19 Farney (video recorder)  
20  
21

22 **Call to Order**  
23

24 Board Chair Weibel called the meeting to order at 6:06pm.  
25

26 **Roll Call**  
27

28 The secretary called the roll and the following were present: Alix, Anderson, Bensyl,  
29 Berkson, Betz, Esry, Holderfield, James, Jay, Jones, Kurtz, Langenheim, Maxwell, McGinty,  
30 Michaels, O'Connor, Petrie, Quisenberry, Rosales, Schroeder and Weibel. Cowart, Richards and  
31 Ammons arrived after roll call.  
32

33 **Approval of Minutes**  
34

35 **Motion** by Rosales to approve the minutes of December 6, 2011, as presented; seconded  
36 by James. **Motion carried unanimously.**  
37

38 **Approval of Agenda/Addendum**  
39

40 **Motion** by Richards to approve the agenda for the meeting; seconded by Rosales. Chair  
41 Weibel asked that the RTAG Monthly and Quarterly Reports be moved ahead in the agenda to  
42 allow those speaking to that to be able to leave after that report. There was no addendum.  
43 **Motion carried unanimously.**  
44

45 **Public Participation**  
46

47 Brian Adams spoke regarding one of the older County buildings, the county poor farm.  
48 He said he had done some research on the architect of the building. He said construction started  
49 in 1910 and the poor farm opened in 1912. He inquired about and expressed a potential  
50 celebration to landmark the building upon its 100<sup>th</sup> anniversary.  
51

52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102

**Communications**

He directed the committee's attention to a letter from Warren Jeff regarding polygamists organizations and said the office has been inundated with daily mailings and asked board members if he would be allowed to send on to recycling to which the members agreed.

Kurtz said he attended the Champaign City council building on January 9 regarding intergovernmental restrictions regarding Clinton landfill. The first vote the executive committee took was to send a letter in opposition is to be sent in opposition to the landfill. Other cities, Bloomington and Decatur, are looking to join in opposition. He will provide updates as available.

**Highway & Transportation**  
**Monthly Reports**

**Motion** by Ammons to receive and place on file the monthly report for December, 2011; seconded by Anderson. **Motion carried unanimously.**

**County Engineer**  
**Petition – Kerr Road District**

John Cooper briefly explained that the Petition by Kerr Road District is to repair a drainage tile.

**Motion** by Bensyl to recommend approval of a Petition Requesting and Resolution Approving Appropriation of Funds from the County Bridge Fund Pursuant to 605 ILCS 5/5-501 for Kerr Road District; seconded by James. **Motion carried unanimously.**

**Other Business**

None.

**Designation of Item to be Placed on the Consent Agenda**

The Kerr Bridge petition is to be placed on the consent agenda.

**County Facilities**  
**Physical Plant Monthly Reports**

Mr. Reinhart explained there are still some bills from FY11 outstanding, but the report should be complete next month.

**Motion** by Jay to receive and place on file the Physical Plant monthly reports for November, 2011; seconded by Cowart. **Motion carried unanimously.**

103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153

202 Art Bartell Construction Monthly Report

Mr. Reinhart briefly explained report. He said all the projects and owner items are complete and under budget. The only item left is the East Campus Storm Water management project.

**Motion** by James to receive and place on file the report; seconded by Kurtz. **Motion carried unanimously.**

East Campus Storm Water Management Project

Maxwell asked Tom Berns and Chris Billing about the design life of the aluminized steel box. Billing said the concrete box could easily have a 50-80 year life and the aluminized steel could also be in the order of a 50 year life, being buried.

**Motion** by Maxwell to recommend adoption of the Storm Sewer Approach with the aluminized steel; seconded by James. Betz stated his concern with the motion, only because he thought the committee had previously discussed action coming in February. But he also stated the item was on the agenda and complies with the Open Meetings Act. Betz reluctantly allow discussion of the motion on the floor. Petrie felt the cost estimates were new information provided this evening and doesn't feel that it complies with the Open Meetings Act. Betz thought the item was previously deferred to February. Alix also stated his recollection was that the item was deferred to February, but would confirm with the minutes of the previous meeting. Betz said it needed to be determined which month the item was deferred to.

**Motion** by Langenheim to table to motion; seconded by Petrie. Betz stated the motion to table is not debatable. Alix requested a point of order saying that if the motion made by Maxwell was not in order due to the date, then that motion is not proper. **Motion to table failed.**

Ammons asked about the differences of the approaches. Cowart asked if they had discussed with Jeff Blue's department to work on some of the excavating work on the project to cut some of the costs. Billing stated that estimates were determined based on actual contractors, but costs would come down a bit if they ended up doing the work. He said that the County Highway Department will be busy in 2012 with some of their projects, based on his conversations with Mr. Blue.

Bensyl stated the minutes of October 4, 2011 reflect that action is to be taken in January. Betz ruled Maxwell's motion in order.

Berkson asked that when talking about design life, what happens when the EPA comes in and says the metal work is not acceptable. She feels they should look at alternatives to the metal storm sewer work. She also stated that if a new jail is built it should all be a coordinated approach. Betz asked Mr. Berns if storm water projects are normally inspected by the EPA, Berns said no, not to his knowledge. Betz asked if Berns knew of projects in the last 5 years in which the EPA has come in and said changes needed to be made. Berns said none, to his knowledge. Berns said the previous storm water plan already took into account an expanded jail. Some of the basins have already been built.

154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204

Jay said he had concerns with delaying this project any further. He said that all of the presenters said the green plans would cost more and take more with maintenance. Jay feels it is imperative it be done and that it could have been done already. He agrees that there could be law changes and it can be done.

Alix asked what the estimate was for the total impervious area and the fees from the City of Urbana for the storm water fees. He question about the City of Urbana incentives would be for using green plans. Berns hasn't seen any updates from the City of Urbana. Weibel thought the fees would be approximately \$35,000 for all the county owned property.

Alix said he's been following this and his reason to defer previously was to allow someone from the public to present lower costs for the green options, but hasn't seen any yet. He said he would like, at this point, to hear about lower costs. Berkson said her understanding of that would be to talk with the other firm that turned in an RFQ. Busey said the Board entered into a contract with BCA. If the Board wants to pay another engineering firm to prepare another quote, there will be other fees. Busey said it is possible, but that is starting over. Holderfield said she had spoken with someone about classes from Parkland College doing this project for the County and due to the limited number of projects they're able to do, they were not interested in doing so. She couldn't find anyone to step forward to ease the burden of the costs to the County.

Petrie said that as to expectations of some board members to expect someone to step forward with proposals, but those people are not open to giving proposal for no payment for their services. She also said that since the City of Urbana is not pushing for the project to be completed soon, it gives some breathing room and time to pursue further. Petrie said there is a potential jail expansion. She said that one of the initiatives of the strategic planning was identified that the County develop a comprehensive plan for the County facilities. She said she would like the time to look for grants and allow to seek to lower costs.

Esry stated that everyone has heard that time is money and the longer it drags out costs will rise, labor and materials. He said the Storm Water Plan had already taken into consideration the jail expansion, so that part of the discussion is moot. He feels something needs to be done.

James expressed his belief of the need to get the drainage situation going. Richards favors the greens approach, but hasn't heard any alternatives to lower the costs and the project needs to move forward.

Ammons asked if one the RFQ is out and the work is done, do we then have to do a second for a second phase. Ms. Busey said the intent of the RFQ was to provide a contract for engineering services. The Board elected to take a staffed approach and provide two design alternatives. She always assumed the remainder of the project would be completed with that same firm when it was issued. Ammons asked if that was stated in the RFQ. Busey said that no, those alterations were made when approved. Busey said the first stage of the RFQ contract is completed. Busey the intent of the RFQ was always to continue on through with the firm selected. The question was which approach.



205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255

Berkson asked about the option to go to the second firm if the first proposal was not satisfactory. Busey said that once the contract was entered into, then that is the firm to go with. Alix agreed with Petrie that we can't expect services be provided for nothing. But he was hoping to see something along the lines of items each portion of work for lower costs, and he hasn't seen any evidence of a game-changing approach. Alix then spoke to Busey, that there seems to be some disconnect what members of the vendor selection committee and the board had with regards to the options. He hopes that board members ask more questions in the future and that staff give more explanation.

**Motion** by Bensyl to call the motion and requested a roll call vote; seconded by James.  
**Motion carried.**

**A roll call vote on the original motion by Maxwell carried with Alix, Bensyl, Betz, Esry, Holderfield, James, Jay, Jones, Maxwell, McGinty, Michaels, O'Connor, Quisenberry, Rosales and Schroeder voting yes and with Ammons, Anderson, Berkson, Cowart, Kurtz, Langenheim, Petrie, Richards and Weibel voting no.**

Other Business

None.

Designation of Items to be Placed on the Consent Agenda

No items to be placed on the consent agenda.

Environment & Land Use

Recreation & Entertainment License

Curtis Orchard

**Motion** by Betz to approve the annual renewal of a Recreation & Entertainment License for Curtis Orchard, Ltd., 3902 S. Duncan Road, Champaign for January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012; seconded by Schroeder. **Motion carried.**

Gordyville, LLC

**Motion** by Esry to approve the annual renewal of a Recreation & Entertainment License for Gordyville, LLC, 2205 County Road, Gifford for January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012; seconded by Michaels. **Motion carried.**

Lake of the Woods Bar & Liquor

**Motion** by Maxwell to approve the annual renewal of a Recreation & Entertainment License for Lake of the Woods Bar & Liquor, 204 South Prairieview Road, Mahomet for January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012; seconded by Holderfield. **Motion carried.**



256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306

Tincup RV Park

**Motion** by Holderfield to approve the annual renewal of a Recreation & Entertainment License for Tincup RV Park, Inc., 1715 East Tincup Road, Mahomet, for the period January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012; seconded by Jay. **Motion carried.**

Direction to Zoning Administrator Regarding Proposed Zoning Ordinance Text Amendment to Amend Certain Wind Farm Standard Conditions

Kurtz read the memo from John Hall requesting approval to proceed with a public hearing for an amendment to the Zoning Ordinance requirements for wind farms. Ammons asked why there were changes being made regarding reclamation agreement to simply a requirement for a site reclamation plan. Joel Fletcher, stated it makes more sense to put this in the ordinance in that it basically forces the developer to seek a variance in the ordinance if they do not agree with the ordinance. He said it makes it a condition of getting the special use permit.

Weibel asked if sections on Page 77 and Page 78 should be the same. John hall said this is what is going on with the current wind farm. Weibel also asked about the definition of "Board" and Hall said in this case it means the Zoning Board. Weibel also asked if a landowner could ask for removal of concrete lower than 54", possibly 72". Hall stated yes, but it wouldn't be enforced by Zoning. Weibel felt there needed to be a better definition of an average salvage price because of varied locations. Hall said this should be done by a licensed engineer to do the estimate. Hall thought it removed flexibility if defined in the ordinance and the cost might be more. He said we would be better off to get an estimate from a reputable engineer. Weibel wants to know what the cost is. Hall said a list of items could be included to ask in each case. Weibel asked about the definition of derelict. Hall said it was not defined. Weibel would like the definition in there. Hall deferred to Joel Fletcher. Fletcher directed the Board's attention to paragraph "d" on page A-7 already provides some specific guidance, because it would impossible to define every possible way something can become derelict. Weibel's final question was about re-commissioning. He doesn't feel it would be a problem for some years, but should be looked at with regard to fees. Hall said it is not covering costs on the special use permit, covering costs on the zoning permit. Hall said they probably spent over \$20,000 reviewing the current wind farm.

Alix wonder if salvage value is defined as net salvage value, for example, after transportation cost, disassembly, etc. Fletcher said he was unaware of statutory definitions of salvage value. Alix asked how we ensure the numbers the engineer gives are what asked for and feels that is what should be asked.

Petrie previously mentioned to Hall about goals that any documents approved and definitions that are specific to this ordinance and not a general zoning ordinance. She asked if there are any stipulations to fill the hole left by the 54 inches of concrete with regard to the type of soil. She states that site should be brought to what the site was when project began. Petrie stated her concerns with the paragraph regarding financial assurance every two years. She felt it should occur every year. Fletcher doesn't see legal concerns with that, but it can be discussed. James said that the public and those that need to read these will understand. Brief discussion continued.

307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357

Hall said he is ready to proceed if the committee is complete with discussion. He will proceed with next step.

Monthly Report

**Motion** by James to receive and place on file the monthly report for Zoning; seconded by Rosales. **Motion carried unanimously.**

RTAG reports

Andy Kulczycki, Community Service Center of Northern Champaign County, explained the first part of the annual report covers definitions. He thanked the Board for seeing the need and meeting the demand for rural transportation. Transit services occur Monday through Friday, 7am to 5pm and explained costs for the fares. He stated they could never come close to meeting the need in previous years. Weibel asked how a registered rider is defined. Amy Marchant, CRIS CEO said a person who has completed a form with information is a registered rider. Petrie asked if there were any grant programs for those individuals that can't pay the cost of a trip. Petrie asked if they have had some people who can't afford at all. Ms. Marchant state there is a ride called a Medicaid ride. Petrie asked if it was possible to provide limited service on Saturdays. Ms. Marchant stated that with limited reimbursements from the state, there isn't a cash flow at this time to provide that.

The Quarterly Report says that medical need and employment are the main reasons people are using the program. At this point with the number of vehicles and the late state payments they are at capacity, but are hopeful they will be able to do something down the road. Kurtz asked about how fluctuating fuel prices affect the bottom line. Ms. Marchant said it has been okay and are able to obtain fuel from CUMTD at cost. Schroeder asked how far behind the State is behind in payments. They are 6 months behind and waiting on \$109,000.

**Motion** by Betz to receive and place on file the RTAG quarterly and annual reports; seconded by Quisenberry. **Motion carried unanimously.**

Other Business

None.

Designation of Items to be placed on the Consent Agenda

No items to be placed on the agenda.

Other Business

MLK Proclamation

Weibel stated this item would normally have been presented to the Policy Committee, but the event is taking place prior to that date.

358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373

**Motion** by Betz to adopt a Proclamation Designating Friday, January 13, 2012 as the 11<sup>th</sup> Annual County-Wide Celebration of Martin Luther King, Jr.; seconded by Richards. **Motion carried.**

**Adjournment**

Meeting declared adjourned at 7:34pm.

Respectfully submitted,  
Ranae Wolken  
Recording Secretary