



CHAMPAIGN COUNTY BOARD
COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE – ELUC/Facilities/Justice Agenda
County of Champaign, Urbana, Illinois
Thursday, April 7, 2011 – 6:00 p.m.

Lyle Shields Meeting Room, Brookens Administrative Center
1776 East Washington Street, Urbana, Illinois

	<u>Page Number</u>
I. <u>Call To Order</u>	
II. <u>Roll Call</u>	
III. <u>Approval of Minutes</u>	
A. Committee of the Whole – March 1, 2011	*1-13
IV. <u>Approval of Agenda/Addenda</u>	
V. <u>Public Participation</u>	
VI. <u>Communications</u>	
VII. <u>Environment & Land Use</u>	
A. <u>Final Recommendation to County Board for Zoning Ordinance Amendment</u>	
1. Request to Amend Champaign County Zoning Ordinance. Zoning Case 675-AT-10 Petitioner: Champaign County Zoning Administrator	*14-20
B. <u>Subdivision Recommendation to County Board</u>	
1. Subdivision Case 196-11: Swanson Subdivision Final Plat Approval of a One-Lot Subdivision (For an Existing Home) with Necessary Waivers	*21-31
C. <u>Direction to Zoning Administrator Regarding Proposed Zoning Ordinance Text Amendments</u>	
1. Request to Amend the Champaign County Zoning Ordinance to Implement Land Resource Management Plan Policies 4.1.5, 4.1.7, & 4.1.9	*32-46
D. <u>Pending Legislation</u>	
1. Request for Letter of Support for Senate Bill 2195/HB 3372 County Storm Water Bill	*47-69
2. Letter of Opposition to House Bill 1626 (Establishing Protest Rights for County Board Special Use Permits)	*70-76
E. <u>Monthly Report (To Be Distributed)</u>	
F. <u>Other Business</u>	
G. <u>Chair's Report</u>	
H. <u>Designation of Items to be Placed on County Board Consent Agenda</u>	

VIII. County Facilities

A. Facilities Director

1. Physical Plant Monthly Reports *77-80
2. Brookens Energy Lighting Retrofit Project Update *81-84
3. Update – ILEAS Roof Project

B. 202 Art Bartell Construction Project

1. Project Update
2. Monthly Project Budget Report *85
3. Update Regarding RFQ 2011-005 *86
4. Request from Coroner for Changes to Facility:
 - a. Upgrade to Exhaust Fan *87-88
 - b. Upgrade to Sink *89-90

C. Chair's Report

D. Other Business

E. Designation of Items to be Placed on County Board Consent Agenda

IX. Justice & Social Services:

A. Monthly Reports - Reports are available on each department's webpage at:

<http://www.co.champaign.il.us/COUNTYBD/deptrpts.htm>

1. Animal Control – February 2011
2. Emergency Management Agency – February 2011 & March 2011
3. Head Start – March 2011
4. Probation & Court Services – February 2011
5. Public Defender – December 2011

B. Other Business

C. Chair's Report

X. Adjourn

1 **CHAMPAIGN COUNTY BOARD**
2 **COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE MINUTES**
3

4
5 **Highway & Transportation/County Facilities/Environment & Land Use**
6 **Tuesday, March 1, 2011**
7 **Lyle Shields Meeting Room, Brookens Administrative Center**
8 **1776 E. Washington St., Urbana, Illinois**
9

10 **MEMBERS PRESENT:** Christopher Alix, Carol Ammons, Jan Anderson, Astrid Berkson,
11 Thomas Betz, Lloyd Carter, Lorraine Cowart, Stephanie
12 Holderfield, Stan James, John Jay, Brad Jones, Alan Kurtz, Ralph
13 Langenheim, Brendan McGinty, Diane Michaels, Alan Nudo,
14 Steve O'Connor, Patti Petrie, James Quisenberry, Michael
15 Richards, Larry Sapp, Jonathan Schroeder, C. Pius Weibel
16

17 **MEMBERS ABSENT:** Ron Bensyl, Steve Moser, Giraldo Rosales
18

19 **OTHERS PRESENT:** Jeff Blue (County Engineer), Kat Bork (Administrative Assistant),
20 Deb Busey (County Administrator), David DeThorne (Senior
21 Assistant State's Attorney), Sasha Green (County Clerk's Office),
22 John Hall (Planning & Zoning Director), Susan Monte (RPC
23 County Planner), Alan Reinhart (Facilities Director)
24

25 **CALL TO ORDER**

26
27 Weibel called the meeting to order at 6:04 p.m.
28

29 **ROLL CALL**

30
31 Bork called the roll. Alix, Ammons, Anderson, Berkson, Betz, Carter, Cowart,
32 Holderfield, James, Jay, Jones, Kurtz, Langenheim, McGinty, Nudo, O'Connor, Petrie,
33 Quisenberry, Richards, Sapp, Schroeder, and Weibel were present at the time of roll call,
34 establishing the presence of a quorum.
35

36 **APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

37
38 **MOTION** by Betz to approve the Committee of the Whole minutes of February 10,
39 2011; seconded by Ammons. **Motion carried with unanimous support.**
40

41 **APPROVAL OF AGENDA/ADDENDA**

42
43 **MOTION** by Carter to approve the agenda and two addenda; seconded by Betz.
44

45 Kurtz asked to move agenda item 9.A under ELUC to the front of the agenda. There
46 were no objections from the County Board.

47 **Motion carried as amended with unanimous support.**
48

49 **PUBLIC PARTICIPATION**
50

51 Weibel stated public participation would be limited to one hour and each individual could
52 speak for five minutes.
53

54 Bill Walter spoke in support of the green alignment for the Olympian Drive/Lincoln
55 Avenue Project. Eric Thorsland supported the yellow alignment for the Olympian Drive/Lincoln
56 Avenue Project. Laura Weis, CEO of the Chamber of Commerce, supported the green alignment
57 for the Olympian Drive/Lincoln Avenue Project. John Dimit, Economic Development
58 Corporation President, spoke in support of the Olympian and Lincoln projects, particularly the
59 green alignment. If the green alignment is not approved by the County Board, then he asked for
60 the most cost effective and quickest route to be built. This could be a compromise between the
61 red and green alignments to not lose time with the project's construction phase. Janet Scharlau
62 spoke about the impact of trucks driving on township roads and said the landowners requested
63 that one of them participate in tweaking the Lincoln Avenue alignment.
64

65 Michaels entered the meeting at 6:15 p.m.
66

67 William Bates spoke about the Olympian Drive/Lincoln Avenue Project and in support of
68 staying with the alignment that is the best according to the engineers' opinion. William Cope
69 was pleased the Olympian Drive extension to Route 45 was not on the agenda and that the
70 County Board has been open to compromising with the landowners who object to the green
71 Lincoln Avenue alignment. Robert Lakey spoke in favor of the green alignment and questioned
72 why more money should be spent on another study. Jerry Watson, on behalf of the Farm Bureau,
73 encouraged the Board to conduct a needs assessment study if redesigning a portion of the
74 Olympian/Lincoln Project is necessary. Regarding LRMP Policy 4.1.7 under ELUC, he
75 supported an 80-acre minimum lot area to ensure agriculture's continued prominent role in the
76 county. Mark Thompson distributed handouts to the Board and spoke about land use. Laurel
77 Prussing, the City of Urbana Mayor, supported the Lincoln Avenue green alignment. She
78 described the reopened public process Urbana underwent a year ago. She mentioned
79 compromises that could be made with landowners to move the road away from homes and
80 remarked that the County Board did not owe some homeowners another study. She asked the
81 County Board to honor its commitments and to respect Urbana's comprehensive plan.
82

83 Weibel closed public participation after verifying that no one else which to speak.
84

85 **COMMUNICATIONS**
86

87 Weibel announced that Bensyl had informed the Chair he would not attend tonight's
88 Committee of the Whole meeting because of a business meeting.
89

90 Petrie stated that George Boyd, a constituent of Board member Steve Moser, asked her to
91 read his comments concerning Olympian Drive. Weibel said the appropriate time for those
92 comments would be during the Olympian Drive/Lincoln Avenue discussion.
93

94 **HIGHWAY & TRANSPORTATION**

95 **Monthly Reports**

96 **County & Township Motor Fuel Tax Claims – February 2011**

97
98 **MOTION** by Betz to receive and place on file the County & Township Motor Fuel Tax
99 Claims for February 2011; seconded by Langenheim. **Motion carried with unanimous**
100 **support.**

101 **County Engineer**

102 **Resolution Appropriating County Bridge Funds & Authorizing the County Board Chair to Sign** 103 **the Joint Agreement for Section #09-00956-00-BR**

104
105
106 **MOTION** by Betz to approve the Resolution Appropriating County Bridge Funds and
107 Authorizing the County Board Chair to Sign the Joint Agreement for Section #09-00956-00-BR;
108 seconded by Langenheim.
109

110 Blue explained this project involved the bridge just north of Rantoul on Maplewood
111 Drive. James asked where the Village of Rantoul's ownership of Maplewood Drive stopped. He
112 thought Rantoul accepted responsibility for this road when it was surfaced a few years ago. Blue
113 answered that the County owns the whole road. Petrie inquired how much would remain in the
114 County Bridge Fund after this project. Blue explained this project was a planned expenditure in
115 the FY2011 budget. There is \$1 million in the County Bridge Fund for bridge construction this
116 year.
117

118 **Motion carried with unanimous support.**

119 **Resolution Authorizing the County Board Chair to Sign the Joint Agreement for Section #10-** 120 **00429-00-RS**

121
122
123 Blue explained this resolution concerned the same project the Highway Department has
124 been working on from Thomasboro through Gifford. It is a \$4 million project, of which \$3.1
125 million is funded by the federal government and \$900,000 is coming from Truck Access Route
126 Program funds. If the costs continue as projected, this project will not cost the County Highway
127 Fund anything.
128

129 **MOTION** by Jay to approve the Resolution Authorizing the County Board Chair to Sign
130 the Joint Agreement for Section #10-00429-00-RS; seconded by Ammons. **Motion carried**
131 **with unanimous support.**
132
133
134

135 Petition – Raymond Township

136

137 Blue explained the petition was for a small culvert project estimated at \$7,600 on a
138 township road in Raymond Township. It will involve replacing a 48-inch equivalent pipe along
139 the little Vermilion Ditch. The project's costs will be split 50/50 between the township and the
140 County.

141

142 **MOTION** by Jay to approve the petition from the Raymond Township Highway
143 Commissions for the appropriation of Champaign County Bridge funds for a culvert project;
144 seconded by James. **Motion carried with unanimous support.**

145

146 Resolution of Support for Project A – Olympian Drive, from Apollo Drive to Lincoln Avenue
147 Extension

148

149 **MOTION** by Betz to approve the Resolution of Support for Project A – Olympian Drive,
150 from Apollo Drive to Lincoln Avenue Extension; seconded by Langenheim.

151

152 Weibel asked Blue to explain the resolution. Blue explained the decision coming from
153 the last Olympian-Lincoln Special Committee was that it would be appropriate for the County
154 Board to go on record supporting the projects before moving forward. This resolution is in
155 support of Olympian Drive Project A, which starts at Apollo Drive and goes to Lincoln Avenue.
156 Project A is currently 100% funded with non-County resources.

157

158 McGinty and Betz requested a roll call vote on all the Olympian Drive/Lincoln Avenue
159 issues at tonight's meeting. The Board discussed the resolution to verify all members were clear
160 on the project that it supported.

161

162 **Motion carried with a vote of 19 to 4.** Alix, Ammons, Anderson, Berkson, Betz,
163 Carter, Cowart, Holderfield, Jones, Kurtz, Langenheim, McGinty, Nudo, O'Connor, Petrie,
164 Quisenberry, Richards, Schroeder, and Weibel voted in favor of the motion. James, Jay,
165 Michaels, and Sapp voted against the motion.

166

167 Resolution of Support for the Extension of Lincoln Avenue to Olympian Drive

168

169 **MOTION** by Betz to approve the Resolution of Support for the Extension of Lincoln
170 Avenue to Olympian Drive; seconded by Langenheim.

171

172 Ammons asked if this extension was a part of the original 1997 design and if approving
173 this resolution would accept the 1997 design. Blue explained the Board just accepted the 1997
174 alignment of Olympian Drive with the previous resolution. This resolution only says Lincoln
175 Avenue needs to be realigned from its current terminus and extended up to Olympian Drive. It
176 does not set any design or alignment for Lincoln Avenue.

177

178 **Motion carried with a vote of 19 to 4.** Alix, Ammons, Anderson, Berkson, Betz,
179 Carter, Cowart, Holderfield, Jones, Kurtz, Langenheim, McGinty, Nudo, O'Connor, Petrie,

180 Quisenberry, Richards, Schroeder, and Weibel voted in favor of the motion. James, Jay,
181 Michaels, and Sapp voted against the motion.

182
183 Langenheim exited the meeting at 6:58 p.m.

184
185 Resolution of Support for the “Green Alignment” Lincoln Avenue Connection to Olympian
186 Drive

187
188 **MOTION** by Betz to approve the Resolution of Support for the “Green Alignment”
189 Lincoln Avenue Connection to Olympian Drive; seconded by Kurtz.

190
191 The County Board discussed the history of the Olympian Drive/Lincoln Avenue project
192 and their opinions regarding various aspects of the development process.

193
194 Langenheim returned to the meeting at 7:00 p.m.

195
196 **MOTION** by Nudo move to suspend the rules to allow Shirley Squire’s attorney to
197 address the Board; seconded by McGinty. **Motion carried.**

198
199 Pat Fitzgerald with the firm of Meyer Capel spoke on behalf of Shirley Squire and
200 Christine Squire Pierson regarding their 2/3 ownership of Squire Farms. Squire Farms would
201 bear the heaviest burden of any landowner in all three proposed alignments, with anywhere from
202 17 to 5 acres being purchased from Squire Farms for road construction. Squire and Pierson
203 recognize there is need for an extension and requested the County Board support the green
204 alignment.

205
206 Weibel asked Blue if the green alignment could be adjusted without undertaking a new
207 study, as indicated by the Mayor of Urbana in her public comments. Blue explained that the red
208 alignment was the alignment recommended by the engineers that was then shifted to the green
209 alignment through the public participation process. The Phase I study would have to be amended
210 in order to shift the green alignment. The area between the red and green alignments has been
211 significantly studied in the past, so Blue thought an amendment in this area would involve less
212 work than a full Phase 1 study. He did not believe the alignment could be shifted too far without
213 an amendment. Weibel inquired about the cost of amending the study. Blue estimated it would
214 cost between \$75,000 and \$125,000 depending on the time and effort needed to complete the
215 work.

216
217 In response to McGinty’s questions about alignment options, Blue shared information
218 based on his conversations with IDOT. The green alignment has been approved by the necessary
219 parties and is the route ready to move forward. Any adjustment of the preferred alignment to
220 something between the red or green lines would take some form of amendment to the Phase I
221 study. Anything beyond that area would require a new Phase I study. Moving the road off
222 someone’s property would be a significant enough change to warrant an amendment to the Phase
223 I study. The distance the alignment could be moved without an amendment would be decided by
224 IDOT. Blue continued to answer questions from the Board about the alignments and the steps

225 involved in a Phase I study. It was pointed out that some maps depicting alignments were
226 distributed on the Board's desks by members of the public and did not come from Blue's office.
227

228 **MOTION** by Anderson to suspend the rules to allow Laurel Prussing to comment about
229 an amendment to the Phase I study; seconded by Alix. **Motion carried.**
230

231 Prussing stated she spoke to Bill Gray, Urbana's City Engineer, and this morning and
232 asked him if it 50 feet was a reasonable distance to move the alignment. Gray agreed that a
233 statement saying the road could be moved 50 feet was reasonable. The distance the road could
234 be moved to accommodate a particular landowner was unknown. Prussing did not think a
235 completely new study was needed and was trying to address a question in a letter to the editor
236 published in today's newspaper. Kurtz asked Blue if the green alignment could be moved to be
237 farther away from a certain person's property. Blue did not think the green alignment could be
238 changed if it was approved. Bill Gray was present and said he would need to study the issue
239 before giving a figure on how far the road could be moved. He stated there was over 200 feet
240 from the house under discussion to the green alignment.
241

242 The Board members voiced their views on the project and alignments, including
243 comments they received from constituents. Petrie read a letter from George Boyd, a constituent
244 of Steve Moser's, giving his opinion of the project.
245

246 Nudo exited the meeting at 7:24 p.m. and returned at 7:25 p.m. Sapp exited the meeting
247 at 7:33 p.m. Jones exited the meeting at 7:34 p.m. Jones returned to the meeting at 7:36 p.m.
248 Sapp returned to the meeting at 7:38 p.m. Ammons exited the meeting at 7:47 p.m. and returned
249 at 7:52 p.m.
250

251 **Motion failed with a vote of 10 to 13.** Alix, Anderson, Berkson, Betz, Cowart,
252 Langenheim, Quisenberry, Richards, Schroeder, and Weibel voted in favor of the motion.
253 Ammons, Carter, Holderfield, James, Jay, Jones, Kurtz, McGinty, Michaels, Nudo, O'Connor,
254 Petrie, and Sapp voted against the motion.
255

256 Weibel wanted to direct Blue to bring alternatives on connecting Lincoln Avenue to
257 Olympian Drive at next meeting. Blue requested specifics on what the Board wanted him to
258 provide. Weibel requested Blue give a presentation explaining what the County Board's options
259 are and how much each option would cost.
260

261 James exited the meeting at 8:16 p.m.
262

263 Petrie asked if Weibel's direction to Blue would include an RFP for the Phase I study or
264 if the study would be performed in-house. Weibel said he was just asking Blue about alignment
265 options. Blue clarified that the Highway Department does not have the time or expertise to
266 conduct a Phase 1 study. An RFP to perform a study could be an outcome of the County Board's
267 decision.
268

269 Kurtz exited the meeting at 8:17 p.m.

270 Alix requested a statement from Blue, potentially working with the City of Urbana,
271 identifying the County Board's options at this point and the cost of each for comparison
272 purposes. The Board members have been told different things from different people and
273 transparency is needed to reach a decision. McGinty asked Blue to note if any funding for the
274 project was at risk because of the delay involved in changing the alignment.
275

276 James returned to the meeting at 8:18 p.m. Kurtz returned to the meeting at 8:19 p.m.
277

278 Cowart asked about funding for the project. Blue explained there is money available
279 through Illinois Jobs Now, the Illinois Commerce Commission, and the federal government's
280 STU allocations to fund 100% of the Olympian Drive project. There is currently \$1.4 million to
281 fund the Lincoln Avenue project. Any Lincoln Avenue expenses beyond \$1.4 million would be
282 divided amongst the local entities.
283

284 Nudo asked the Chair to appoint a committee to work directly with the City Urbana and
285 the landowners at the same table. He has heard a lot of conflicting information and encouraged a
286 face-to-face meeting with all the parties to hammer out an agreement.
287

288 Nudo exited the meeting at 8:26 p.m.
289

290 James preferred to have the full Board in the same room for presentations, instead of a
291 committee, so they are all receiving the same information. Cowart agreed with James.
292

293 Nudo returned to the meeting at 8:28 p.m.
294

295 The Board continued to discuss the next step and the importance of avoiding unnecessary
296 delays. Blue agreed to bring options to the full Board meeting in two weeks.
297

298 **Other Business**

299
300 There was no other business.
301

302 **Chair's Report**

303
304 There was no Chair's Report.
305

306 **Designation of Items to be Placed on County Board Consent Agenda**

307
308 Agenda items 7.B.1-3 were designated for the consent agenda.
309

310 McGinty requested a five-minute recess. Board members exited the room at 8:32 p.m.
311 and Betz called the meeting back to order at 8:42 p.m. Ammons, Holderfield, Jones, Kurtz, and
312 Sapp were absent when the meeting resumed.
313
314

315 **COUNTY FACILITIES**

316 **Facilities Director**

317 **Physical Plant Monthly Reports**

318

319 Reinhart stated the monthly reports reflected the activities in the first couple of months of
320 the new year.

321

322 **Brookens Energy Lighting Retrofit Project Update**

323

324 The spreadsheet for the Brookens Energy Lighting Retrofit Project was not included in
325 the Board's packets and Reinhart promised to send it to the Board before next month. Reinhart
326 explained the project was moving from Pod 100 to Pod 200 and would soon move into Pod 300
327 and Pod 400.

328

329 Kurtz returned to the meeting at 8:45 p.m.

330

331 **202 Art Bartell Construction Project**

332 **Project Update**

333

334 Reinhart provided a recently updated construction schedule supplied by Roessler
335 Construction. The original completion date was April 15, 2011, but approximately one week's
336 time has been lost due to the weather. The actual schedule will depend on the amount of rain this
337 spring. The building's interior partitions, drywall, and mechanicals are in place.

338

339 Petrie inquired about the building's energy efficiency factor once it is finished. Reinhart
340 answered that, as this is a design/build project, they had very little input into the exact
341 specifications. They did specify that the building meet all current energy codes adopted by the
342 City of Urbana.

343

344 **Monthly Project Budget Report**

345

346 **MOTION** by Cowart to receive and place on file all the Facilities reports; seconded by
347 Jay. **Motion carried with unanimous support.**

348

349 **Presentation - Overview of the Qualified Based Selection (QBS) Process in Illinois**

350

351 Busey presented information about the Qualified Based Selection (QBS) Process,
352 especially for the new Board members, and distributed a printout to all members.

353

354 Holderfield and Ammons returned to the meeting at 8:48 p.m.

355

356 Busey reviewed the federal and state laws connected to the establishment of the QBS
357 Process and explained how the process works. The bottom line is that a government entity does
358 not bid for architectural, engineering, or land surveying services. A firm is selected based on
359 qualifications and then the contract terms and price are negotiated with the selected firm.

360 Petrie wondered if this process closed opportunities for other firms if a government entity
361 has a relationship with one or two particular firms. Busey said that is the statutory, legislative
362 remedy the Board has available and it is a policy matter the Board has to answer as it engages
363 firms to provide these services.

364

365 Recommendation for Engineering Firm for Storm Water Portion of Project

366

367 Busey stated the recommendation directly relates to the QBS Process. The County is at
368 the point where the design for the storm water management portion of the 202 Art Bartell
369 Construction Project needs to be completed. The first option is for the County Board to use the
370 exception and work with a firm that has an established satisfactory relationship with the County.
371 The Board has worked the firm of Berns Clancy & Associates on a number of projects over the
372 last 30 years. Some of these projects involved Berns Clancy being selected as a result of a
373 competitive process. The firm is familiar with the East Campus. If the County Board chooses to
374 proceed with Berns Clancy, then the next step would be to appoint a negotiating team to
375 determine the detailed scope of work and fees for this project. The County Board can require the
376 identification of any sustainable or green solutions to be incorporated into the project as a portion
377 of the scope of work. The timeframe for this option is to possibly have a contract negotiated and
378 presented to the Board for approval at the March full Board meeting. The second option is to
379 follow the QBS Process. The timeframe for this option is aggressive and in the worst case the
380 project would begin five weeks later than with the first option. Reinhart and Busey
381 recommended the first option of hiring Berns Clancy. They believed the greatest benefits could
382 be achieved in both costs and time by utilizing Berns Clancy because the firm has done so much
383 work on the East Campus.

384

385 **MOTION** by Richards to adopt Option 2 to follow the QBS selection process for the
386 storm water management portion of the 202 Art Bartell Construction Project; seconded by Petrie.

387

388 Alix asked when the County last qualified Berns Clancy or its competitors through a QBS
389 Process. Busey said the 2006 reuse study was a competitive process. Alix questioned how many
390 other engineering firms the County has worked with since 2006. Reinhart said BLDD was the
391 engineering firm selected for the Highway Fleet Maintenance Facility.

392

393 Richards inquired about the need for an aggressive schedule. Reinhart replied that the
394 storm water work was tied to the Art Bartell Project. The building site drainage will be finished
395 this spring. The City of Urbana allowed the County to run the site drainage above ground
396 instead of tying into the underground storm system. The water has to be caught before it travels
397 further across the County's property into the City of Urbana's property. He did not think an
398 extra month would hurt the project, but the storm water project definitely needs to be done
399 during this construction period.

400

401 The Board discussed the two options and selecting an engineering firm through a
402 competitive process. Quisenberry called the question.

403

404 **Motion carried with a vote of 17 to 4.** Alix, Ammons, Anderson, Berkson, Carter,
405 Cowart, Holderfield, James, Kurtz, Langenheim, McGinty, Michaels, O'Connor, Petrie,
406 Quisenberry, Richards, and Weibel voted in favor of the motion. Betz, Jay, Nudo, and Schroeder
407 voted against the motion.
408

409 Busey was concerned that comments by Board members were indicating a preference for
410 expanding the scope of work. Betz clarified that the Board was saying it supported taking the
411 second option and was not expanding the scope of work. Busey explained the scope of work
412 document would be prepared based on the approved 202 Art Bartell Project, which is consistent
413 with negotiations with the City of Urbana. Nudo noted the County only has a certain budget for
414 this portion of the project because it bonded for the entire project. Discussion confirmed the
415 majority of Board members supported the second option to avoid precluding any firm from being
416 considered for the project.
417

418 James exited the meeting at 9:23 p.m.
419

420 Betz stated a selection committee needs to be appointed to engage in the QBS Process.
421 Betz volunteered to be on the committee and recommended it include Reinhart and Busey.
422 Weibel permitted Betz to appoint the selection committee. Jay recommended Blue for the
423 committee based on his expertise. Petrie volunteered for the committee. O'Connor volunteered
424 if everyone understood he has been friends with Tom Berns for 50 years. No Board members
425 voiced any objection. Betz appointed a selection committee of Betz, Petrie, O'Connor, Jay,
426 Busey, Reinhart, and Blue.
427

428 **Chair's Report**

429 There was no Chair's Report.
430
431

432 **Other Business**

433 Request Approval to Submit the Champaign County Courthouse Masonry Restoration & Bell
434 Tower Reconstruction Project to the Southern Illinois Chapter of American Institute of
435 Architects Biennial Design Awards Program for Historic Preservation
436

437 **MOTION** by Quisenberry to approve submitting the Champaign County Courthouse
438 Masonry Restoration & Bell Tower Reconstruction Project to the Southern Illinois Chapter of
439 American Institute of Architects Biennial Design Awards Program for Historic Preservation;
440 seconded by Cowart. **Motion carried with unanimous support.**
441

442 **Designation of Items to be Placed on County Board Consent Agenda**

443 No items were designated for the consent agenda.
444
445
446
447
448

449 **ENVIRONMENT & LAND USE**

450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493

Kurtz wanted a motion to move the remaining ELUC items to the next Committee of the Whole meeting or the March County Board meeting. Hall noted agenda items 9.C.1 and 9.C.2 were ready for final action this month.

MOTION by Quisenberry to move agenda items 9.B.1-3 to the March 8th Committee of the Whole meeting; seconded by Cowart. **Motion carried.**

Preliminary Recommendation to County Board for Zoning Ordinance Amendment
Request to Amend Champaign County Zoning Ordinance. Zoning Case 675-AT-10 Petitioner: Champaign County Zoning Administrator

MOTION by Schroeder to approve the preliminary recommendation to amend the Champaign County Zoning Ordinance, Zoning Case 675-AT-10; seconded by Anderson. **Motion carried with unanimous support.**

Direction to Zoning Administrator Regarding Proposed Zoning Ordinance Text Amendment

Request to Amend the Champaign County Zoning Ordinance to Implement Land Resource Management Plan Policies 4.1.5, 4.1.7, and 4.1.9

Moved to March 8th Committee of the Whole meeting.

Request to Amend the Champaign County Zoning Ordinance to Implement Land Resource Management Plan Policies 4.1.6 and 4.3.1-4.3.4

Moved to March 8th Committee of the Whole meeting.

Request to Amend the Champaign County Zoning Ordinance to Implement Land Resource Management Plan Objective 4.4 by adding a Special Use Permit for the RRO

Moved to March 8th Committee of the Whole meeting.

Request to Amend the Champaign County Zoning Ordinance to Implement Land Resource Management Plan Objective 4.4 by Adding Standard Conditions for the Special Use Permit for the RRO

Moved to March 8th Committee of the Whole meeting.

494 **Final Recommendation to County Board for Zoning Ordinance Amendments**
495 **Request to Amend Champaign County Zoning Ordinance. Zoning Case 665-AT-10 Petitioner:**
496 **Champaign County Zoning Administrator**

497
498 **MOTION** by Alix to amend the Champaign County Zoning Ordinance: Zoning Case
499 665-AT-10; seconded by Holderfield. **Motion carried with unanimous support.**

500
501 **Request to Amend Champaign County Zoning Ordinance. Zoning Case 666-AT-10 Petitioner:**
502 **Champaign County Zoning Administrator**

503
504 Hall explained this amendment was to clarify certain aspects of the standard conditions
505 for special use permits.

506
507 **MOTION** by Alix to amend the Champaign County Zoning Ordinance: Zoning Case
508 666-AT-10; seconded by O'Connor. **Motion carried with unanimous support.**

509
510 **Monthly Report**

511
512 The monthly report was distributed to the Board.

513
514 **Other Business**

515 **Request for Letter of Support for Senate Bill 2195**

516
517 Moved to March 8th Committee of the Whole meeting.

518
519 **Amendments to the Existing Contractor & Lease Agreement for the 2010 & 2011 Countywide**
520 **Residential Electronics Collections**

521
522 **MOTION** by Anderson to approve the amendments to the Existing Contractor & Lease
523 Agreement for the 2010 & 2011 Countywide Residential Electronics Collections; seconded by
524 Ammons.

525
526 Quisenberry asked if these recycling events were necessary when private businesses, such
527 as Mervis Industries, are accepting these items. Monte said the County entered into two-year
528 agreement with other agencies last year. The other agencies want to advertise for bids if the
529 agreement is continued into the future. Mervis does not offer these services after 4:00 p.m. on
530 weekdays or on weekends. Monte expressed that they have good relationships with the recycling
531 businesses and share information about recycling options when the county-wide events are
532 publicized.

533
534 **Motion carried with unanimous support.**

535
536 **Chair's Report**

537
538 There was no Chair's Report.

539 **Designation of Items to be Placed on County Board Consent Agenda**

540

541 Agenda items 9.C.1-2 & E.2 were designated for the consent agenda.

542

543 **SEMI-ANNUAL REVIEW OF CLOSED SESSION MINUTES**

544

545 Weibel announced that legal counsel recommended all the closed session minutes should
546 remain closed.

547

548 **MOTION** by McGinty or all closed session minutes to remain closed; seconded by Betz.

549 **Motion carried with unanimous support.**

550

551 Busey explained the semi-annual review of closed session minutes process for the benefit
552 of new Board members.

553

554 **ADJOURN**

555

556 The meeting was adjourned at 9:36 p.m.

557

558 Respectfully submitted,

559

560 Kat Bork

561 Administrative Assistant

562

563

Secy's note: The minutes reflect the order of the agenda and may not necessarily reflect the order of business conducted at the meeting.