

CHAMPAIGN COUNTY BOARD FACILITIES COMMITTEE County of Champaign, Urbana, Illinois Tuesday, October 8, 2013 - 6:00 p.m.

Lyle Shields Meeting Room Brookens Administrative Center 1776 E. Washington St., Urbana

Committee Members:	
Stan James - Chair	Gary Maxwell
James Quisenberry – Vice-Chair	Giraldo Rosales
Josh Hartke	Rachel Schwartz
Jeff Kibler	

AGENDA

- I. Call to Order
- II. Roll Call

III.	Approval of Minutes A. Facilities Committee Meeting – September 3, 2013 B. Facilities Special Committee Meeting – September 19, 2013	1 5		
IV.	Approval of Agenda/Addenda			
V.	Public Participation			
VI.	Communications			
VII.	. Facilities Director's Report			
	 A. Brookens Northeast Parking Lot Repair Update B. Timeline on Demolition at 1701 E. Main, Urbana C. Courthouse Exterior Maintenance Project Update D. Illinois Energy Now Workshop 9/17/13 	7		
VIII.	Other Business			
IX.	Chair's Report			

- X. Designation of Items to be Placed on the Consent Agenda
- XI. Adjournment

Champaign County strives to provide an environment welcoming to all persons regardless of disabilities, race, gender, or religion. Please call 217-384-3776 to request special accommodations at least 2 business days in advance.

Page #



Champaign County Board Facilities Committee County of Champaign, Urbana, Illinois

6 7

MINUTES	- SUBJECT TO REVIEW AND APPROVA	L
DATE:	Tuesday, September 3, 2013	
TIME:	6:00 p.m.	
PLACE:	Lyle Shields Meeting Room	
	Brookens Administrative Center	
	1776 E. Washington, Urbana, IL 6180	12
Committe	e Members	
	Present	Absent
	Stan James (Chair)	
	James Quisenberry (Vice Chair)	
	Josh Hartke	

		James Quisenberry (Vice Chair)
		Josh Hartke
		Jeff Kibler
		Gary Maxwell
		Giraldo Rosales
		Rachel Schwartz
15		
16	County	
17		(Facilities Manager), Beth Brunk (Recording Secretary)
18		
19	Others	Present: John Jay & Pattsi Petrie (Champaign Co Board)
20	MINU	TES
21	١.	Call to Order
22		Committee Chair James called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m.
23		
24	П.	Roll Call
25		A verbal roll call was taken and a quorum was declared present.
26		
27	III.	Approval of Minutes
28		A. August 6, 2013 – Regular Meeting
29		MOTION by Mr. Rosales to approve the minutes of the August 6, 2013 meeting as distributed; seconded by Mr.
30		Hartke. Upon vote, the MOTION CARRIED unanimously.
31		
32	IV.	Approval of Agenda
33		MOTION by Mr. Hartke to amend the agenda to allow Mr. Richard Cahill from Preservation and Conservation
34		Association (PACA) to speak to the Committee after Communications; seconded by Mr. Maxwell. Upon vote, the
35		MOTION CARRIED unanimously.
36		
37		Mr. Kibler entered the meeting at 6:01 p.m.
38		
39	۷.	Public Participation
40		None
41		

VI. Communications

This is Alan Reinhart's last meeting at the Facilities Committee as he will be retiring.

VII. Salvage Effort by Preservation and Conservation Association (PACA) in the East Annex of ILEAS

Mr. Richard Cahill, a member of PACA, cited PACA's successful cooperation in past salvage efforts with County buildings. PACA has been salvaging materials for over 30 years, and carries a \$1,000,000 general liability insurance policy. Mr. Cahill noted the benefits of diverting waste from the landfills and reusing material.

Mr. James stated that in his experience if there were individuals on the property that were not contractors or subcontractors, there was liability if someone was hurt. Mr. Cahill will review PACA's Country Company's policy but stated that the volunteers will sign a waiver. Mr. Quisenberry suggested allowing a walk-through with Mr. Cahill to see if there is anything worth salvaging. Mr. Kirkland will coordinate the tour with Mr. Cahill.

VIII. Illinois Law Enforcement Alarm System (ILEAS) Lease Renewal

Mr. Reinhart referenced the handout which is the updated lease after review by County and ILEAS attorneys. Mr. Reinhart and Ms. Busey met with Jim Page, Executive Director at ILEAS to negotiate the terms of the lease to \$5.25/ sq ft which is comparable to the Regional Planning Commission's (RPC) cost.

MOTION by Mr. Hartke to recommend approval of the lease renewal between Champaign County and ILEAS; seconded by Mr. Quisenberry.

Ms. Schwartz asked the approximate value of utilities paid as that cost is included in the ILEAS rent. In RPC's lease, they pay for their utilities. Mr. Reinhart responded that the annual average from the last three years totaled \$110,000 for gas, water, electric and sanitary. Mr. James noted that the contract terms will be reopened for discussion in 2017. Ms. Busey noted that ILEAS has made an up-front investment of \$2.8 million for improvements to the building which is why this contract differs from RPC's lease.

Upon vote, the **MOTION CARRIED unanimously.**

IX. IGW Engineering Services Contract for Demolition of ILEAS East Annex

MOTION by Mr. James to recommend approval of the contract with IGW Architects for the design, bid and construction phases of the demolition of the east annex at 1701 East Main Street in Urbana; seconded by Mr. Kibler.

Mr. Reinhart noted on page 10 that IGW placed an emphasis on sustainable demolition. Mr. James commented that this demolition was requested by ILEAS as part of the lease agreement.

Upon vote, the MOTION CARRIED unanimously.

X. Capital Improvements Projections – County Parking Lots

MOTION by Mr. Kibler to recommend funding for capital improvements of County parking lots addressing the needs of the four lots in most need of repair – Brookens northeast lot, Brookens north lot, 1905 E. Main Street lot and 305 E. Main Street lot; seconded by Mr. Hartke.

50Mr. Kirkland explained that his criterion in rating the condition of the lots was based on the surface area51percentage that had large cracks and depressed areas with sub-structural problems. A seal coat will take52care of the majority of the general maintenance. Cut and patch areas will be needed for the53depressions.

Mr. James wondered when some of these lots were last repaired. Mr. Reinhart thought it may be five years ago where the Courthouse lot was sealed for cracks but it has been some time since the other County lots have received any maintenance. From his experience, Mr. James noted that seal coating generally lasts from 3-5 years before it needs to be reapplied. He has noticed weeds growing in the cracks at Brookens' lots which precipitate water seepage, soil erosion and indentations.

Mr. Kirkland assessed the northeast parking lot at Brookens as needing the most critical repairs with large potholes that are disintegrating from frequent traffic use. It would be beneficial to repair this lot before winter weather hastens the further deterioration. As a total mill and overlay on this lot would cost approximately \$165,000, it is more cost effective to do preventative maintenance. Mr. Kirkland estimated the cost for repair to the northeast lot at Brookens to be \$15,000. Mr. Maxwell asked about the process with a seal coat. Mr. Kirkland responded that a petroleum product is sprayed on to fill in the cracks. Ms. Busey explained that the first recommendation is to complete Brookens' northeast parking lot repairs in the current fiscal year. She thought there was some money in Contingent Expense that could be used. The second recommendation would be to provide direction to Finance Committee to budget \$32,000 for the other three parking lots – Brookens north, 1905 E Main and 305 E. Main – in FY2014. Mr. Kibler clarified that the budget presentation last week did not include any costs for the parking lot repairs.

Mr. Kibler inquired why the parking lot at 1905 E. Main Street listed as "fair condition" was included as one the lots needing critical repair. Mr. Kirkland stated that the lot at 1905 E Main parking has a large pothole off of Main Street that needs to be fixed. Ms. Petrie asked if any grants had been researched to do a least one of the parking lots with sub-structure problems in a sustainable manner. Mr. Kirkland answered that he has not done so but will look into it. Ms. Petrie thought it may be advantageous to see if grant money was still available. Mr. James noted that the parking lots repairs on the list are routine maintenance, and we are not ripping them out and starting all over. For the parking lots that service the criminal justice buildings, Ms. Petrie proposed looking at using Public Safety Tax money for their repair instead of the General Corporate Fund. Mr. James stressed that it is important to maintain our County properties where our employees work and serve the public.

AMENDED MOTION by Mr. Kibler to recommend to the Finance Committee a budget amendment to transfer \$15,000 from Contingent Expense and General Corporate Funds to the FY 2013 Physical Plant budget to cover the repairs needed to the northeast parking lot at Brookens Administrative Center.

- Mr. Hartke agreed to the friendly amendment.
- Upon vote, the MOTION CARRIED unanimously.

MOTION by Mr. Quisenberry to recommend to the Finance Committee that direction be given in the preparation of the FY2014 budget to include \$32,000 for the maintenance and repair of the following parking lots: north of Brookens Administrative Center, 1905 E. Main Street and 305 E. Main Street; seconded by Ms. Schwartz.

Mr. Quisenberry perceived the second recommendation as something that should be put on the table for budget consideration.

Upon vote, the **MOTION CARRIED unanimously.**

XI. Other Business

Ms. Petrie asked why the ILEAS demolition contract was not put out for bid. Mr. Reinhart explained that the contract amount was below the bidding threshold as established by the County's Purchasing Policy. The Facility Committee gave direction/approval last month to use IGW Architecture to negotiate the scope of services. Ms. Busey commented that it is subject to Qualifications-Based Selection (QBS) process which is not a bidding process. Mr. Hartke stated that the Facilities Committee decided to go with IGW Architecture in this case but in future projects will encourage more open bidding. Mr. Maxwell clarified that the Committee felt with time constraints that this is the best way to go rather than the lengthy QBS process. After the first of the year, Mr. Maxwell hopes the Committee with the Facilities Director can compile a list of two or three general contractors for engineering, electrical, mechanical and structural firms and rotate the list. Ms. Petrie was told by CMS that the County could use all the approved people on their list as well as the University of Illinois. She felt that we should take advantage of those options.

XII. Chair's Report

Mr. James stated that once we hire a new Facilities Director, the Committee can look at focusing on preventative maintenance for each building with the County Board's approval.

13 XIII. Special Facilities Committee Meeting – 9/19/13 at 6:30 p.m. in Meeting Room #3

A special Facilities Committee meeting is scheduled for Thursday, September 19th at 6:30 p.m. to discuss the award of contract for the Courthouse Exterior Maintenance Project. The meeting will be held in Meeting Room #3 at Brookens Administrative Center.

18 XIV. Semi-Annual Review of Closed Session Minutes 19 Ms. Busey stated that there is no change in the record

Ms. Busey stated that there is no change in the recommendation as to what Facilities Committee closed session minutes should remain closed.

MOTION by Mr. Hartke to maintain the current Facilities Committee closed session minutes as closed; seconded by Mr. Kibler. Upon vote, the **MOTION CARRIED unanimously.**

XV. Designation of Items to be Placed on the Consent Agenda Items VIII & IX

XVI. Adjournment

MOTION by Mr. Hartke to adjourn the meeting; seconded by Mr. Kibler. Upon vote, the **MOTION CARRIED unanimously.**

There being no further business, Mr. James adjourned the meeting at 6:40 p.m.



Champaign County Board Facilities Committee Special Meeting County of Champaign, Urbana, Illinois

6 7

DATE:	Th	Thursday, September 19, 2013			
TIME:		30 p.m.			
PLACE		eeting Room #3			
	Br	ookens Administrative Center			
	17	776 E Washington, Urbana, IL 6180	2		
Comn	nittee Memk	bers			
com		Present	Absent		
		Stan James (Chair)			
		James Quisenberry (Vice Chair)			
		Josh Hartke			
			Jeff Kibler		
			Gary Maxwell		
		Giraldo Rosales			
		Rachel Schwartz			
MINU	ITES Call to Ord	der			
	Call to Order Committee Chair James called the meeting to order at 6:30 p.m.				
П.	Roll Call				
	A verbal rol	ll call was taken and a quorum was de	clared present.		
Ш.	Approval of Agenda				
	••	-	is distributed; seconded by Mr. Hartke. Upon vote, the MOTI		
	CARRIED u	nanimously.			
IV.	Public Participation				
	None				
	Description	and Approval of the Assessed of Co	waterest for the Counthouse Futurion Maintenance		
۷.		Recommend Approval of the Award of Contract for the Courthouse Exterior Maintenance			
	Project Mr. Kirklan	d stated that Otto Baum Company Ju	ac had the lowest responsible hid for the base hid plus		
	Mr. Kirkland stated that Otto Baum Company, Inc. had the lowest responsible bid for the base bid plus alternate #2 at \$135,742. The base bid includes sawcutting expansion joints, tuckpointing, repair,				
	alternate #	t2 at \$135,742. The base hid inclu	ides sawcutting expansion joints tucknointing repair		
	cleaning ar	nd sealing of existing brick and sands	des sawcutting expansion joints, tuckpointing, repair, tone at specific areas on the Courthouse. Alternate #2 and cast stone at specific sites on the south and west		
	cleaning ar included cl	nd sealing of existing brick and sands eaning and sealing all exterior brick	tone at specific areas on the Courthouse. Alternate #2		

elevations of the Courthouse addition. Alternate #1 for the replacement of the existing stairs and ramp on the south side of the Courthouse was not included as the amount put the project cost over budget.

42

MOTION by Mr. Quisenberry to recommend acceptance of the bid by Otto Baum Company, Inc. for \$135,745 which includes the base bid and alternate #2 in the Courthouse Exterior Maintenance Project; seconded by Mr. Hartke.

Mr. Quisenberry wondered why the bid was so much lower than the projected construction budget of \$223,340. Mr. Scot Wachter of IGW Architecture responded that the bid by Evans-Mason, Inc. was close at only \$20,000 more. He thought that contractors were hungry for work and willing to bid projects at cost to keep their crews busy. Mr. James thought that Otto Baum Company had done work for the County in the past. Mr. Wachter thought that Otto Baum Company was the mason for the Courthouse, a general contractor for the nursing home and poured concrete for the Highway Building. Mr. James recollected that they had done good work.

Ms. Schwartz asked what the 10% bond covered. Mr. Wachter answered that the 10% bid bond obligated the contractor to sign the contract if selected as qualified low bidder or forfeit 10% of the base bid. In addition, the contractor must have a 100% performance and payment bond which guarantees that they will complete the work. Mr. James noted that the bond is issued by an insurance company to a general contractor. Ms. Schwartz was hoping the bond would cover more than the completion of the project. Mr. Wachter clarified that there is a standard one-year warranty on their work.

Mr. James hoped the Committee would support this at the County Board meeting as the work is needed for upkeep on the Courthouse. If this project is delayed, more damage will occur leading to higher costs to fix the problems.

Upon vote, the MOTION CARRIED unanimously.

VI. Adjournment

 MOTION by Mr. Quisenberry to adjourn the meeting; seconded by Mr. Rosales. Upon vote, **the MOTION CARRIED unanimously.** Mr. James adjourned the meeting at 6:38 p.m.

CHAMPAIGN COUNTY PHYSICAL PLANT 1776 East Washington Street, Urbana, Illinois 61802-4581

FACILITIES & GROUNDS MANAGEMENT SERVICES

Kirk Kirkland, Interim Facilities Director

MEMORANDUM

TO:	Stan James, Chair Members of the County Facilities Committee
FROM:	Kirk Kirkland, Interim Facilities Director
DATE:	September 27, 2013
RE:	Parking lot report

After the approval at the 9/3/13 Facilities Committee to repair the Brookens' northeast parking lot, I obtained three quotes from area contractors. As the quotes came in, there were differing opinions as to the amount of repairs needed and the level of damage to the substructure of the lot. As I wait to obtain quotes for the same scope of work to accurately evaluate the cost, the window of time to do this work is rapidly closing. I do not think that it would be prudent to rush this project to make the deadline for applying sealant. I think our best strategy is to put this work on hold until spring 2014. I will have the opportunity to contact the County Highway Department for their expertise in determining the amount of damage to the substrate and the scope of work to be done.